## THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT DELHI – 1, ROOM NO.207, ROUSE AVENUE COURT COMPLEX, NEW DELHI

## LCA NO. 1858/ 2019

Sh. Vijay Pal S/o Sh. Hari Singh, Through General Secretary, Municipal Employees Union, Aggarwal Bhawan, G.T. Road, Tis Hazari, Delhi 110054

Claimant

Versus

The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Delhi Dr. S.P. Mukherjee Civic Center Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Minto Road, Delhi- 110002

Management

Shri Rajiv Agarwal, A/R for the claimant None for the management

## **ORDER**

- 1. An application was moved by the claimant, under Section 33-C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter "the Act") with the averments that he joined service with the management as Safai Karamchari, Since then, the claimant is discharging service to the entire satisfaction of his superiors. His services were regularized on the post of Safai Karamchari with retrospective effect i.e., 01.04.2003. But the claimant has not been paid any difference of arrears of salary, arrears of 1<sup>ST</sup> MACP, difference of salary due to 7<sup>th</sup> CPC amount of Rs. 12,85,245/-. However, the workman has already received an amount of Rs. 4,44,788/- hence the total due amount which has been claimed comes to Rs. 8,40,457/- (Rupees Eight Lakhs Forty Thousand Four Hundred And Fifty Seven Only). The claimant further claims interest on the due amount @ 18% per annum and litigation cost. The claim of the claimant has remained unrebutted and the management was proceeded as ex-parte on 20.01.2023.
- 2. At the stage of evidence, the claimant filed his affidavit and examined himself as WW1. In his examination in chief, the workman also relied upon documents Ex

WW1/1 to Ex WW1/3. No one turned up from the management side for cross examination of the workman witness. The cross examination of the workman

witness was treated as nil. Workman evidence was thereafter closed. Management

neither appeared nor led evidence. The management evidence was closed.

3. I have gone through the pleadings and documents placed on record by the parties

and have heard the arguments from the AR of the Claimant. The workman has

proved that the workman was regularized w.e.f. 01.04.2003. The management

failed to rebut the claim of the workman. In view of this the claim of the claimant

regarding arrears of difference of salary, arrears of 1<sup>ST</sup> MACP, difference of salary

due to 7th CPC to the tune of total accrued amount of Rs. 8,40,457/- deserves to be

allowed.

4. Though the claimant has prayed for interest @18% per annum, the same is not

allowed in view of the fact that in a petition u/s 33 (C)(2) of the ID Act, the Tribunal

is only empowered to compute the amount but cannot confer a new right on the

workman like interest. Similar view has been taken in the case of Union of India

vs. Presiding Officer CGIT in 1984 AISLJ 567 and by the Hon'ble High Court of

Delhi in the case of King Airways vs. Captain Manjit Singh decided in WPC No.

2666 of 2010.

5. Management is directed to pay the amount of Rs. 8,40,457/- within a period of 30

days. If the computed amount of Rs. 8,40,457/- is not made within a period of 30

days hereof, the management shall be liable to pay 6% interest on the full amount

from the date of filing this application i.e., 11.11.2019 till realization. An order is,

accordingly, passed. File, after completion, be consigned to record room.

Justice Vikas Kunvar Srivastava

Presiding Officer

Retired Judge of High Court of Allahabad

October 17, 2024