## $\frac{\mathsf{ORDER}\,\mathsf{SHEET}}{\mathsf{CENTRAL}\,\mathsf{GOVT}.\mathsf{INDUSTRIAL}\,\mathsf{TRIBUNAL}\,\mathsf{CUM}\,\mathsf{LABOUR}\,\mathsf{COURT},}$ $\mathsf{JABALPUR}(\mathsf{MP})$

## CASE NO. CGIT/LC/R-176-96

None present from the side of parties.  Today case is fixed for cross-examination of Management witness.  The case is pending for cross-examination of management witness since 2014, hence management evidence closed.  Perused the record.  Preliminary Issue No.1 framed by my learned Predecessor vide his order dated 23-4-2007 is as follows:-  (1)Whether the departmental inquiry conducted by the Management is legal and proper?  The Burden to prove this issue is on the workman. The workman has filed his affidavit as his examination in chief but never appeared for cross-examination, hence his evidence was closed vide order dated 23-5-2014. Since there is no evidence from the side of the workman to prove his case that the inquiry was not legal and proper and the initial burden to prove this fact lies on the workman, the preliminary issue no.1 is decided against the workman.  Parties are directed to file their respective evidence in the form of affidavit/documents, if any on remaining issues, within two weeks from today, after giving copy to each other.  List on 2 1/3/12 for hearing on remaining issues.	Date of order of proceeding	Order or proceeding with signature of Presiding Officer	Signature of parties or pleaders where
None present from the side of parties.  Today case is fixed for cross-examination of Management witness.  The case is pending for cross-examination of management witness since 2014, hence management evidence closed.  Perused the record.  Preliminary Issue No.1 framed by my learned Predecessor vide his order dated 23-4-2007 is as follows:-  (1)Whether the departmental inquiry conducted by the Management is legal and proper?  The Burden to prove this issue is on the workman. The workman has filed his affidavit as his examination in chief but never appeared for cross-examination, hence his evidence was closed vide order dated 23-5-2014. Since there is no evidence from the side of the workman to prove his case that the inquiry was not legal and proper and the initial burden to prove this fact lies on the workman, the preliminary issue no.1 is decided against the workman.  Parties are directed to file their respective evidence in the form of affidavit/documents, if any on remaining issues, within two weeks from today, after giving copy to each other.  List on 2-5/3/2-2-for hearing on remaining issues.			necessary
Today case is fixed for cross-examination of Management witness.  The case is pending for cross-examination of management witness since 2014, hence management evidence closed.  Perused the record.  Preliminary Issue No.1 framed by my learned Predecessor vide his order dated 23-4-2007 is as follows:-  (1)Whether the departmental inquiry conducted by the Management is legal and proper?  The Burden to prove this issue is on the workman. The workman has filed his affidavit as his examination in chief but never appeared for cross-examination, hence his evidence was closed vide order dated 23-5-2014. Since there is no evidence from the side of the workman to prove his case that the inquiry was not legal and proper and the initial burden to prove this fact lies on the workman, the preliminary issue no.1 is decided against the workman.  Parties are directed to file their respective evidence in the form of affidavit/documents, if any on remaining issues, within two weeks from today, after giving copy to each other.  List on 2 5/3/22 for hearing on remaining issues.	16-6-2022	Taken up.	
The case is pending for cross-examination of management witness since 2014, hence management evidence closed.  Perused the record.  Preliminary Issue No.1 framed by my learned Predecessor vide his order dated 23-4-2007 is as follows:-  (1)Whether the departmental inquiry conducted by the Management is legal and proper?  The Burden to prove this issue is on the workman. The workman has filed his affidavit as his examination in chief but never appeared for cross-examination, hence his evidence was closed vide order dated 23-5-2014. Since there is no evidence from the side of the workman to prove his case that the inquiry was not legal and proper and the initial burden to prove this fact lies on the workman, the preliminary issue no.1 is decided against the workman.  Parties are directed to file their respective evidence in the form of affidavit/documents, if any on remaining issues, within two weeks from today, after giving copy to each other.  List on 2 5/1/2 for hearing on remaining issues.		None present from the side of parties.	
since 2014, hence management evidence closed.  Perused the record.  Preliminary Issue No.1 framed by my learned Predecessor vide his order dated 23-4-2007 is as follows:-  (1)Whether the departmental inquiry conducted by the Management is legal and proper?  The Burden to prove this issue is on the workman. The workman has filed his affidavit as his examination in chief but never appeared for cross-examination, hence his evidence was closed vide order dated 23-5-2014. Since there is no evidence from the side of the workman to prove his case that the inquiry was not legal and proper and the initial burden to prove this fact lies on the workman, the preliminary issue no.1 is decided against the workman.  Parties are directed to file their respective evidence in the form of affidavit/documents, if any on remaining issues, within two weeks from today, after giving copy to each other.  List on 25/7/22 for hearing on remaining issues.		Today case is fixed for cross-examination of Management witness.	
Preliminary Issue No.1 framed by my learned Predecessor vide his order dated 23-4-2007 is as follows:-  (1)Whether the departmental inquiry conducted by the Management is legal and proper?  The Burden to prove this issue is on the workman. The workman has filed his affidavit as his examination in chief but never appeared for cross-examination, hence his evidence was closed vide order dated 23-5-2014. Since there is no evidence from the side of the workman to prove his case that the inquiry was not legal and proper and the initial burden to prove this fact lies on the workman, the preliminary issue no.1 is decided against the workman.  Parties are directed to file their respective evidence in the form of affidavit/documents, if any on remaining issues, within two weeks from today, after giving copy to each other.  List on 25/3/22 for hearing on remaining issues.		The case is pending for cross-examination of management witness since 2014, hence management evidence closed.	
(1)Whether the departmental inquiry conducted by the Management is legal and proper?  The Burden to prove this issue is on the workman. The workman has filed his affidavit as his examination in chief but never appeared for cross-examination, hence his evidence was closed vide order dated 23-5-2014. Since there is no evidence from the side of the workman to prove his case that the inquiry was not legal and proper and the initial burden to prove this fact lies on the workman, the preliminary issue no.1 is decided against the workman.  Parties are directed to file their respective evidence in the form of affidavit/documents, if any on remaining issues, within two weeks from today, after giving copy to each other.  List on 25/3/22 for hearing on remaining issues.		Perused the record.	
The Burden to prove this issue is on the workman. The workman has filed his affidavit as his examination in chief but never appeared for cross-examination, hence his evidence was closed vide order dated 23-5-2014. Since there is no evidence from the side of the workman to prove his case that the inquiry was not legal and proper and the initial burden to prove this fact lies on the workman, the <a href="mailto:preliminary">preliminary</a> issue no.1 is decided against the <a href="workman">workman</a> .  Parties are directed to file their respective evidence in the form of affidavit/documents, if any on remaining issues, within two weeks from today, after giving copy to each other.  List on 25/7/22 for hearing on remaining issues.		Preliminary Issue No.1 framed by my learned Predecessor vide his order dated 23-4-2007 is as follows:-	
has filed his affidavit as his examination in chief but never appeared for cross-examination, hence his evidence was closed vide order dated 23-5-2014. Since there is no evidence from the side of the workman to prove his case that the inquiry was not legal and proper and the initial burden to prove this fact lies on the workman, the preliminary issue no.1 is decided against the workman.  Parties are directed to file their respective evidence in the form of affidavit/documents, if any on remaining issues, within two weeks from today, after giving copy to each other.  List on 25/3/21 for hearing on remaining issues.		(1)Whether the departmental inquiry conducted by the Management is legal and proper?	
affidavit/documents, if any on remaining issues, within two weeks from today, after giving copy to each other.  List on 25/7/22 for hearing on remaining issues.		has filed his affidavit as his examination in chief but never appeared for cross-examination, hence his evidence was closed vide order dated 23-5-2014. Since there is no evidence from the side of the workman to prove his case that the inquiry was not legal and proper and the initial burden to prove this fact lies on the workman, the <b>preliminary issue no.1</b> is decided against the	
		affidavit/documents, if any on remaining issues, within two weeks	
(PRESIDING OFFICER)			
		(PRESIDING OFFICER)	