## ORDER SHEET CENTRAL GOVT.INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR(MP)

## CASE NO. CGIT/LC/R-104-2007

	Signature Signature
	Order or proceeding with signature of Presiding Officer  Of parties or pleaders
Date of	Order or proceeding with signature or pleaders
order of	where
proceeding	necessary
W-016.5	
	Takan un
31-3-2022	Taken up. Shri Ashok Shrivastava, Counsel for the workman present. Shri Ashok Shrivastava counsel for the Management present.
-658, 1989	Shri Ashok Shrivastava, Counsel for the Workman prosent. Shri Ved Prakash, learned counsel for the Management present.
	Shiri ved Faktash, 15
•	Learned counsel for Management has filed affidavit, taken on record.  Learned counsel for Management has filed affidavit, taken on record.
	has further Diessea
	Learned Counsel for the Manager to Learned Counsel for the Learned Counsel for the Manager to Learned Counsel for the Learne
	application for management dates at this Tribunal, closing
	recall the order dated 27-6 2017 P
	evidence of management due to non availability of the case was witness and any one for management on that date when the case was
	witness and any one for management
	taken up for hearing.
	I have heard both the sides on this application and have perused the
	I have heard both the sides on this application and have
	record.
	The ground for recall taken in this application is that there was some
	The ground for recall taken in this application is that there
	c ·auding dales         alliquite ===
	application does not state any ground for recall.
	approximation of sourced for
	It comes out from the perusal of the record that the learned counsel for large on the dates fixed, thereafter once. The
	It comes out from the perusal of the record that the result of the Management has been present on the dates fixed, thereafter once. The Management has been present on the dates fixed, thereafter once. Parties
	this application for recall was not med soon used on various dates
	and work represented by its leathed counsel of
	thereafter and it was filed only after a gap of almost 3.5 years.
	Hence holding that there is no ground for recalling the order dated 29-
	8-2019, the recall application is rejected and is dismissed accordingly
	List the case on $5-5-22$ for final arguments.
	List the case on for that arguments.
	The
	(PRESIDING OFFICER)