## **ORDER SHEET**

## CENTRAL GOVT.INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR(MP) CASE NO. CGIT/LC/EPFA/10/2023

## M/s Saisun Outsourcing Service Pvt. Ltd. V/S RPFC, Jabalpur

Date of order of proceeding	Order or proceeding with signature of Presiding Officer	Signature of parties or pleaders where necessary
Adv. Adv. Adv. Adv. Adv. Adv. Adv. Hear Del. The App. bey. prov. Ld. knowher Res. knowher Res. knowher E. A. Adv. Adv. App. App. Adv. App. App. App. App. App. App. App. Ap	v. Uttam Maheshwari is present for Appellant. v. Abhishek Arjaria is present for Respondent.  ard both the sides on application for Condonation of lay. Perused record.  e order under appeal was passed on 08/12/2022. peal filed on 03/05/2023 hence, it is apparently yound limitation of 60 days as well extended 60 days as wided Rule 7 of this Tribunal Procedure Rule 1997.  Counsel for Appellant submits that they first came to ow about the impugned order only on 10/02/2023 en they received copy of order sent to them by spondent hence, limitation will run from the date of owledge of the order.  untering this argument, Ld. Counsel of Respondent to the tribunits that Limitation Act 1963 will not apply in this are because the Act itself contains special provision arding limitation he has referred to rule 7(2) of the bunal Procedure Rule 1997.  Counsel further submits that the Appellant was are of the proceeding because he did participate in the occeding before the Respondent.  Counsel for Appellant submits in reply that, the fact the Appellant received copy of the order under ocal only on 10/02/2023 is not disputed by spondent and also the there is no other mechanism to ow about the Order. Ld. Counsel had referred to Sec. of General Clauses Act in this respect.  The counsel for European for limitation in the Act of Rules itself they will prevail over general provision mention in Limitation Act (were limitation run from the of knowledge) Hence, the Appellant cannot be en benefit of Limitation Act for Condonation of lay.	necessary

In the light of above discussion the Application for Condonation of Delay is liable to be dismissed and dismissed accordingly.

Consequently, holding the appeal barred by limitation, it stands disposed accordingly.

In the light of Judgment of Hon'ble High Court of M.P. in W.P. No. 28789/2019, appeal against order under Sec. 7Q is held not appealable. Hence, the aforesaid order holding the appeal heard by Limitation will apply only with respect to order under section 14B of the Act only.

PRESIDING OFFICER