#### ORDER SHEET

### CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Date of Order of Proceeding

Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer

Remark

# Case No. CGIT/LC/R/68/2023 Sujeet Kumar Sahu V/S S.E.C.L.

#### 08.12.2025

#### **ORDER ON PRELIMINARY ISSUE**

The case of the workman on this issue is mainly that his father Dhwaja Kumar Sahu was a permanent employee of the management, he went missing from 20.10.2005. The missing report was lodged with the police station on 01.02.2006, public notice were published in newspapers thereafter on 29.05.2013, police filed a report that he could not be searched and probably has died, the enquiry was closed. The mother of the petitioner filed \ representation before the management first time in 2006 and thereafter seeking appointment on compassionate ground due to non-appearance of Dhwaja Kumar Sahu but the workman, management vitiated a departmental enquiry which proceeded ex-parte against workman. Management was informed during the enquiry that he has gone missing after duty hours since 20.10.2005 but the enquiry proceeded against him which is unjust and is vitiated in law because it is against the principles of natural justice.

Case of the management is that after the workman, Dhwaja Kumar Sahu did not report on duty after 20.10.2005, a show cause notice was issued to him

### **ORDER SHEET**

## CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Date of Order of Proceeding	Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer	Remark
	which was not responded to thereafter, a	
	departmental enquiry was conducted against him,	
	notices were issued to him on his address	
	mentioned in his service record. He did not appear,	
	hence enquiry proceeded ex-parte against him.	
	Both the sides have filed their affidavits as their	
	Examination-in-chief and have been cross-examined	
	by opposite side.	
	Enquiry papers have also been filed which are on	
	record.	
	I have heard argument of Union representative Mr.	
	Tapan Kumar Chakraborthy and Mr. Neeraj Kewat	
	Learned Counsel for the management on	
	preliminary issue. I have gone through the records as well.	
	Limiting myself to the legality of departmental	
	enquiry, I have found that the whereabouts of the	
	workman, Dhwaja Kumar Sahu were not known	
	even to his family. Notices were sent to him on his	
	address mentioned in his service record. Since,	
	none appeared on his behalf in the enquiry, it was	
	just and proper for the Enquiry Office to proceed ex-	
	parte in the enquiry. I find no illegality in the	
	enquiry as such and preliminary issue is answered	
	accordingly.	

### ORDER SHEET

## CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Date of Order of Proceeding	Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer	Remark
	Hence holding the departmental enquiry conducted	
	just and proper the preliminary issue is answered	
	accordingly.	
	Following additional issues are framed:-	
	(i) Whether the charges have been rightly	
	held proved in the enquiry?	
	(ii) Whether the punishment awarded is	
	just, legal and proper?	
	(iii) Whether the dependant son of the	
	workman, Dhwaja Kumar Sahu is	
	entitled to compassionate appointment	
	on duty as per service tenure?	
	Parties are at diberty to file their	
	evidences/affidavits strictly confined to additional	
	issue.	
	List on for hearing.	
	Upload this order.	
	PRESIDING OFFICER	