### **ORDER SHEET**

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL – Cum – LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)			
Date of Order of Proceeding	Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer	Remark	
	Case No. CGIT/LC/RC/16/2018		
	B. Triuptayya V/S. Bhilai Steel Plant		
29.01.2025	Order on Preliminary Issue		
	The preliminary issue, framed by the order dated		
	09.05.2022 is as follows:-		
	Whether, inquiry conducted against the workman is		
	just, legal and proper?		
	According to the workman, he remained sick and was		
	under treatment from 09.11.2014 till 23.09.2017. When		
	he became fit to join his duties, he reported at his		
	workplace, but was informed that he has been		
	terminated from the services w.e.f. 16.09.2016 on the		
	allegation and charges of remaining willfully absent		
	from duty without intimation and getting leave		
	sanctioned. When inquired, he came to know that he was		
	issued a charge-sheet alleging misconduct on his part as		
	defined under Section 29(5) of the Certified Standing		
	Orders by way of habitually and willfully absenting		
	himself from duty for a period of 297 days from		
	November 2014 to October 2015. He also came to know		
	that the show cause notice/ charge-sheet was sent to him		
	on his local and permanent address which returned		
	unserved. Also the notice of inquiry as well punishment		
	order was sent on his local and permanent addresses,		
	which were returned unserved. According to the		
	workman, he was receiving treatment in different		

Case of management is that, the workman is a habitual absentee, he absented himself in 2003, 2004 and 2011

hospitals and was not at his address mentioned in his

service records.

## **ORDER SHEET**

# CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL – Cum – LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Date of Order of Proceeding	Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer	Remark
ortroccomig	for 70, 41 and 54 days respectively and was punished	
	accordingly. A report regarding his unauthorized absent	
	w.e.f. 16.06.2015 was received by management on	
	12.11.2015. He was issued a notice on his address	
	advising him to report on his workplace. This notice	
	returned back as he was not found on the address. He	
	was against issued a charge-sheet dated 12.12.2015 no	
	reply sent by him. Hence, management conduct an	
	inquiry. Notice of inquiry was sent to him by registered	
	post, which returned unserved as addressee not found. It	
	was also pasted on the General Notice Board. The	
	workman did not participate in the inquiry, though he	
	was given sufficient opportunity to defend himself. The	
	Inquiry Officer submitted his inquiry report. The	
	workman was issued a show cause notice with a copy of	
	inquiry report by way of registered post sent on his	
	address, mentioned in his service records, but return	
	unserved with an endorsement addressee not found. The	
	notice was also pasted on the notice board. Thereafter	
	punishment order was passed.	
	In evidence, on this issue the workman has filed his	
	affidavit as his examination in chief, he has been cross	
	examined by management. In his cross examination, he	
	admits that he used to live in company residence, but	
	was in Pune during treatment also that he had not	
	informed the management that he was living in Pune	
	with respect to treatment.	
	Management has filed photocopy inquiry papers, not	
	admitted by workman side these include photocopy	
	envelopes also. Inspite of specific direction by Tribunal,	
	original inquiry papers have not been filed by	
	management. Management has also not filed any	

## **ORDER SHEET**

#### CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL – Cum – LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Date of Order of Proceeding	Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer	Remark
8	affidavit of its witnesses.	
	When there is specific averment by workman side that	
	no notice was ever served on him, burden lies on	
	management to prove that notices were sent and were	
	returned unserved as pleaded by management. In	
	absence of any evidence on this point and in view of the	
	fact that adverse presumption may be drawn against	
	management in not filing original inquiry papers	
	including envelopes inspite of specific direction by this	
	Tribunal, the inquiry is liable to be held unjust and in violation of principles of natural justice. Holding the	
	departmental inquiry unjust and in violation of	
	principles of natural justice, preliminary issue is	
	answered accordingly.	
	Monogoment is at liberty to may the charges before this	
	Management is at liberty to prove the charges before this Tribunal.	
	Titounai.	
	Management has filed an application raising the point of	
	maintainability of the petition in the light of Repeal and	
	Amendment Act 2016. Copy has been served on	
	workman side. Management has also filed an application for amendment in written statement, which is unsigned,	
	hence no cognizance taken.	
	List on 12.03.2025 for evidence of management	
	regarding proof of charges as well disposal of application filed as mentioned above.	
	application fried as mentioned above.	
	Upload this order.	
	Presiding Officer	