CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL – Cum – LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer

Date of Order of Proceeding

Case No. CGIT/LC/R/63/2024

Remark

Date of Order of Proceeding	Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer	Remark
0	him by the registered post in which he was required show-	
	cause and put his case with respect to the inquiry report	
	within seven days from today. Since, the show-cause was	
	received by the workman on 15.04.2024 he had seven days	
	time ending on 21.04.2024 to reply the show-cause notice	
	dated 08/09.04.2024 but the management passed order of	
	punishment of dismissal from service on 19.04.2024 which	
	is arbitrary and illegal. It is also the case of workman that	
	the charge-sheet was issued by an Officer who was not	
	authorized to issued and punishment order was passed by	
	an Officer unauthorized to pass such order. Hence, the	
	whole charge-sheet and punishment is illegal.	
	Management has pleaded that charge-sheet with	
	suspension order was served on workman on 05.02.2024.	
	He filed his reply of charge-sheet on 09.01.2024. A	
	detailed inquiry was ordered and inquiry committee was	
	constituted by management on 23.01.2024. Notice of	
	inquiry was sent to the workman but he never participated	
	in the inquiry, the Inquiry Officer prepared his report and	
	submitted before the management holding the charges	
	proved against the workman. In the meanwhile, the	
	workman approached Hon'ble High Court by way of filing	
	W.P. No. 1645/2024 in which following directions were	
	issued by Hon'ble High Court :-	
	"Petitioner requires certain documents for his defense	
	in departmental inquiry. It is submitted that respondent may	
	consider his representation and supply the documents."	
	According to management, the workman was served a	
	second show-cause notice dated 09.04.2024 on his	
	registered e-mail address and registered post informing	
	him that charges framed against him were found to be true	
	and he could be potentially dismissed from service should	
	he fail to refuse to partake in the departmental inquiry	
	proceedings and submit documents in his defense within a	

Date of Order	JABALPUR (M.P.)   Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer	Remark
of Proceeding		
	week of service of notice, this notice was served on the	
	workman on his registered email address on 10.04.2024	
	and by registered post on 12.04.2024. He did sign a written	
	acknowledgement of the receipt of the registered post filed	
	by management. The workman was also served the inquiry	
	report, documents relevant to inquiry proceedings and	
	video clips prayed by him in the aforesaid writ. The	
	workman did not file any reply to the second show-cause	
	notice. Since the conduct of the workman made a strong	
	case for dismissal, he was dismissed by management.	
	The management has also pleaded that in the Certified	
	Standing Orders, in Training Centre situate at HQ at	
	Nagpur, the Competent Authority to recommend	
	dismissal/discharge/removal of the workman is Head of	
	Personnel/Admin Department of the Area and approving	
	authority is the General Manager/ Chief General Manager.	
	Both the sides have filed photocopy documents with	
	respect to the inquiry and photocopy of Certified Standing	
	Orders as well office orders, which are not disputed by any	
	of the parties.	
	The main argument from the side of workman is that he	
	was working as Instructor in V.T.C. Training Centre,	
	Pathakheda Area of Western Coalfields Limited, which is	
	under the control of General Manager (HR) WCL HQ	
	Nagpur but the charge-sheet was issued by Regional	
	Personnel Manager, Pathakheda Area who did not have	
	authority to issue the charge-sheet as per the Rules. The	
	punishment order was also issued by the Personnel	
	Manager of Pathakheda Area, who is not competent to pass	
	it.	
	The workman has referred to letter no. WCL/IR/SE/SO/99	
	dated 11/15.01.1994 in which the Director Incharge has	
	nominated competent authorities for its establishments/	

Date of Order of Proceeding	Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer	Remark
	units. At serial no. 5, for Training Centers (Centrally	
	controlled by HQs) the competent authority nominated is	
	Training Centre Incharge. In another letter dated	
	WCL/IR/SE/SO/100 dated 11/15.01.1994, the Director	
	Incharge has nominated Officers/ Directors of Western	
	Coalfields Limited as competent authorities for according	
	approval in case of punishment of dismissal, discharge and	
	removal from service of the workman governed by	
	Certified Standing Orders at column no. 5, the General	
	Manager (Training HRD) has been nominated approving	
	authority for Training Centre Incharge.	
	On the other hand, management has submitted that since	
	the workman was working at Vocational Training Centre,	
	Pathakheda which is not controlled by company	
	headquarters and entire control is with the Area General	
	Manager, which is covered by Clause 11 of the Letter	
	referred to by the workman himself (mentioned earlier in	
	this order), which states that for office of General Manager	
	and other departments as well establishments which are	
	placed under his direct administrative control, the	
	competent authority is the head of Personnel/	
	Administrative Department of the Area. Hence, according	
	to management the charge-sheet and punishment order do	
	not suffer with any legal infirmity. The workman has filed	
	photocopy document with respect to WCL HRD which	
	mentions about the training centers, the group vocational	
	training centers are mentioned in the list, which are 12 in	
	number, their also engaged in training and managed by	
	WCL. It is not disputed that the workman was working as	
	Instructor with the Vocational Training Center at	
	Pathakheda. The letter dated 11/15.01.1994 mentions	
	specific competent authority and appellate authority for	
	vocational training centers at serial no5 of this letter.	
	Hence, the argument from the side of management that	
	since the vocational training center is in Pathakheda, the	

Date of Order of Proceeding	Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer	Kemark
Date of Order of Proceeding	Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer Administrative Head of the Pathakheda Area shall be the competent authority for issuing charge-sheet and punishment order cannot be accepted. On the basis of the findings and observations recorded above, the charge-sheet is held to be issued by an Officer not competent to issue it consequently the departmental inquiry on the basis of such a charge-sheet is held to be vitiated in law. Since the punishment order has also not been issued by an authority competent to issue it, the punishment order is also held to be vitiated in law. Preliminary Issue stands answered accordingly in favour of the workman. Since, the management has prayed in its written statement that they be given opportunity to prove the charges before this Tribunal, if the inquiry is held unjustified, they are given opportunity to prove the charges before this Tribunal. List on 28.07.2025 for evidence of management in support of charges. Upload this Order.	Remark
	Presiding Officer	