Central Government Industrial Tribunal Cum Labour Court Jabalpur

ORDER SHEET

DATE OF ORDER OF PROCEEDING

ORDER OR PROCEEDING WITH SIGNATURE OF PRESIDING OFFICER

REMARK

Case No. CGIT/LC/R/26/2015 Ramdas Vs. S.E.C.L.

18.06.2025

Matter taken up.

Learned Counsel Mr. Arun Patel present for workman and Learned Counsel Mr. Neeraj Kewat present for management.

Heard on preliminary issue which is as follows:-

Whether the departmental inquiry conducted is legal and proper?

Perused record.

On perusal of record, in the light of rival arguments, it comes out that notice was issued to the workman on charge-sheet. He did file his reply and finding his reply not sufficient, departmental inquiry ordered. The was workman was given sufficient information of the inquiry. The management issued another show-cause notice with the copy of inquiry report, which was replied by the workman and thereafter, the punishment order was passed. Hence, I find no illegality or material irregularity in the inquiry resulting into prejudice to the workman. Holding the departmental inquiry legal and proper, preliminary issue is answered accordingly.

<u>Central Government Industrial Tribunal Cum Labour</u> <u>Court Jabalpur</u>

ORDER SHEET

DATE OF ORDER OF PROCEEDING	ORDER OR PROCEEDING WITH SIGNATURE OF PRESIDING OFFICER	REMARK
	Following additional issues are framed:-	
	1. Whether the finding of Inquiry Officer with respect to proof of misconduct has been recorded perversely?	
	2. Whether the punishment is proportionate to the misconduct proved?	
	Parties are at liberty to file their respective affidavits/	
	documents limited to and relevant to the additional issues.	
	List on for hearing.	
	Upload this order.	
	Presiding Officer	