

Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur

ORDER SHEET

DATE OF ORDER OF PROCEEDING	ORDER OR PROCEEDING WITH SIGNATURE OF PRESIDING OFFICER	REMARK
-----------------------------	---	--------

**Case No. CGIT/R/04/2023
Union Vs. W.C.L.**

28.04.2026	<p>Matter taken up.</p> <p>Mr. Rajneesh Sharma Learned Counsel present for workman. Mr. Rajesh Pohankar Learned Counsel present for management. Management files application seeking recall of order dated 17.02.2026. On the ground that the workman filed an application for production of documents on 07.01.2026, which was allowed after hearing, on the next date of hearing 17.02.2026. This application mentions only the dates of office order, but no office order has been mentioned. Hence, this summoning order be recall and the workman be directed to file duly constituted application for production of documents. I have heard both the sides on this application and have gone through the record. The application for production of documents was filed on 07.01.2026, copy was served on Learned Counsel Mr. Pohankar as it appears from his endorsement on the application. Date 17.02.2026 was fixed for hearing. None appeared for management on that date. No objection was filed. After hearing, this application was allowed and the documents mentioned in para 2 of the application where required by management to be filed. Para 2 mentions following documents :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Office order dated 15.01.2007.2. Pay Slip dated 17.03.2015.3. Pay Slip October 2017, January 2018, 2019 and 2020.	
------------	---	--

Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur

ORDER SHEET

DATE OF ORDER OF PROCEEDING	ORDER OR PROCEEDING WITH SIGNATURE OF PRESIDING OFFICER	REMARK
	<p>4. Office order dated 05.04.2017.</p> <p>This is also mentioned in the application that photocopies of these documents have been filed by workman, which dates support from perusal of record. Hence, in these circumstances, when the photocopy of the documents sought to be summoned were already supplied to management, they recorded an endorsement recording their non admission on these documents, the plea that documents sought are not specific because number of the office order was not mentioned is completely vague and insufficient, rather a device to lingers the hearing by way of filing vexatious petitions like this petition. Hence the petition is dismissed with cost Rs. 2000/- to management to be deposited with State Legal Service Authority. Management is granted one last chance to file the documents summoned in original or their authenticated copy by someone duly authorized in this respect or affidavit of someone authorized on this behalf, if these documents are not available with them, failing which adverse inferences as per law may be drawn and the workman side will be at liberty to prove these documents by way of secondary evidence.</p> <p>List on 24/06/2026 for filing of documents/ affidavit and evidence of workman.</p> <p>Upload this order.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">PRESIDING OFFICER</p>	