ORDER SHEET

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL – Cum – LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Case No. CGIT/LC/C/15/2017 Vijay Kumar Verma and others V/S N.C.L Matter taken up. Ld. Counsel for both sides are present. Workman side files an application with affidavit praying that O.P. No. 1, being the principle employer be directed to file the recent address of the contractor O.P. No. 2. Heard and perused record. Notices were sent to O.P. No.2 and No. 3 on the address mention in the petition but returned back with an endorsement that they were not found on their address. The petitioner Workman were directed on 05/02/2024 to file recent address of O.P. No. 1 and No. 2. Since the petitioners are seeking relief against O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 it is their responsibility to file their address on which notice may be sent. According to Management, since the work allotted to O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 by them has been completed, they are not in touch with the Management and their recent address are not available with Management. Hence, Management cannot be directed to furnish address of O.P. No. 2 and No.3. Application stands dismissed. Applicants are given one more chance to file recent address of O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 till or before date. List on 27.06.2024 for filing address of O.P. No. 2 and No. 3. Presiding Officer	JABALPUR (M.P.)		
Matter taken up. Ld. Counsel for both sides are present. Workman side files an application with affidavit praying that O.P. No. 1, being the principle employer be directed to file the recent address of the contractor O.P. No. 2. Heard and perused record. Notices were sent to O.P. No.2 and No. 3 on the address mention in the petition but returned back with an endorsement that they were not found on their address. The petitioner Workman were directed on 05/02/2024 to file recent address of O.P. No. 1 and No. 2. Since the petitioners are seeking relief against O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 it is their responsibility to file their address on which notice may be sent. According to Management, since the work allotted to O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 by them has been completed, they are not in touch with the Management and their recent address are not available with Management. Hence, Management cannot be directed to furnish address of O.P. No. 2 and No.3. Application stands dismissed. Applicants are given one more chance to file recent address of O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 till or before date. List on 27.06.2024 for filing address of O.P. No. 2 and No. 3.	Date of Order of Proceeding	Order Or Proceeding with Signature of Presiding Officer	Remark
Id. Counsel for both sides are present. Workman side files an application with affidavit praying that O.P. No. 1, being the principle employer be directed to file the recent address of the contractor O.P. No. 2. Heard and perused record. Notices were sent to O.P. No.2 and No. 3 on the address mention in the petition but returned back with an endorsement that they were not found on their address. The petitioner Workman were directed on 05/02/2024 to file recent address of O.P. No. 1 and No. 2. Since the petitioners are seeking relief against O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 it is their responsibility to file their address on which notice may be sent. According to Management, since the work allotted to O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 by them has been completed, they are not in touch with the Management and their recent address are not available with Management. Hence, Management cannot be directed to furnish address of O.P. No. 2 and No.3. Application stands dismissed. Applicants are given one more chance to file recent address of O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 till or before date. List on 27.06.2024 for filing address of O.P. No. 2 and No. 3.	Case No. CGIT/LC/C/15/2017		
Ld. Counsel for both sides are present. Workman side files an application with affidavit praying that O.P. No. 1, being the principle employer be directed to file the recent address of the contractor O.P. No. 2. Heard and perused record. Notices were sent to O.P. No.2 and No. 3 on the address mention in the petition but returned back with an endorsement that they were not found on their address. The petitioner Workman were directed on 05/02/2024 to file recent address of O.P. No. 1 and No. 2. Since the petitioners are seeking relief against O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 it is their responsibility to file their address on which notice may be sent. According to Management, since the work allotted to O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 by them has been completed, they are not in touch with the Management and their recent address are not available with Management. Hence, Management cannot be directed to furnish address of O.P. No. 2 and No.3. Application stands dismissed. Applicants are given one more chance to file recent address of O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 till or before date. List on 27.06.2024 for filing address of O.P. No. 2 and No. 3.	Vijay Kumar Verma and others V/S N.C.L		
No. 3.		Matter taken up. Ld. Counsel for both sides are present. Workman side files an application with affidavit praying that O.P. No. 1, being the principle employer be directed to file the recent address of the contractor O.P. No. 2. Heard and perused record. Notices were sent to O.P. No.2 and No. 3 on the address mention in the petition but returned back with an endorsement that they were not found on their address. The petitioner Workman were directed on 05/02/2024 to file recent address of O.P. No. 1 and No. 2. Since the petitioners are seeking relief against O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 it is their responsibility to file their address on which notice may be sent. According to Management, since the work allotted to O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 by them has been completed, they are not in touch with the Management and their recent address are not available with Management. Hence, Management cannot be directed to furnish address of O.P. No. 2 and No.3. Application stands dismissed. Applicants are given one more chance to file recent address of O.P. No. 2 and No. 3 till or before date.	
Presiding Officer		No. 3.	
		Presiding Officer	