

Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur

ORDER SHEET

DATE OF ORDER OF PROCEEDING	ORDER OR PROCEEDING WITH SIGNATURE OF PRESIDING OFFICER	REMARK
-----------------------------	---	--------

Case No. CGIT/C/05/2025

Union Vs. Prism Johnson Cement & Another

27.04.2026	<p>Matter taken up.</p> <p>Mr. Uttam Maheshwari Learned Counsel present for workman. Mr. Kuldeep Bhargawa Learned Counsel present for management of Prism Johnson Cement. Heard on agreement of M/s. Prism Johnson filed by them on 02.02.2026 seeking direction to the management to file application without their being any ambiguity and the pleadings be specific, so that specific reply may be submitted. It has been submitted that union has claimed difference of wages as Wage Board Settlement but they have not given the calculation, as to how they have reached the amount claimed bifurcation has also not been given, hence the pleadings / contentions regarding claim are ambiguous. The union be required to explain it. Learned Counsel for the workman union has opposed the application with a submission that every fact has been stated vividly and there is no ambiguity. According to him, the claim is with respect to difference in pay and allowances admissible to the member workmen in the light of the Cement Wage Board Settlement Awards, he has referred to para 20 of his petition specifically in this respect. On perusal of the petition, I do not find any ambiguity requiring further explanations on the part of workman union. The application is dismissed. Management is given one more chance to file objection to the petition if any with counter affidavit and documents if any in rebuttal to the claim, till or before the date fixed.</p> <p>List on 22/06/2026 for final arguments.</p> <p>Upload this order.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">PRESIDING OFFICER</p>	
------------	--	--