

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

**Misc. application For instalment in disposed appeal no. D1/04/2018
Sartaj Utility Services. vs. APFC, Delhi (E)**

Present: Sh. S.S. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.
Sh. Abhishek Kumar, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Sunil Ranjan, A/R
for the Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

Fresh authority letter filed by respondent. An application is placed on record along with a demand draft favouring 'RPFC' amounting to Rs.2,00,000/- in pursuance of the dues of the appellant. The application along with the demand draft has been handed over to the respondent.

Record further reveals that an appeal was filed assailing the order dated 28.12.2017 passed u/s 14B & 7Q of the EPF & MP Act, 1952 (hereinafter referred as the Act) wherein the respondent authority has assessed the damages & interest to the tune of Rs.21,45,402/- & Rs.10,64,253/- respectively. That appeal was resulted into dismissal on 11.04.2023. However, since then respondent has not recovered the amount. At present, this application has been filed by appellant with a request to make instalment so that he could be able to pay the same along with a demand draft which has been handed over to the respondent. Rs.10,00,000/- has already been deposited by the appellant while granting stay. Now the balance amount has to be recovered to the tune of Rs.20,09,655/-.

Heard both the counsel and perused the record. The respondent authority has miserably failed in recovery of the dues after the dismissal of the appeal on 11.04.2023. Considering the fact that the applicant/ appellant has approached this tribunal showing his bonafide by depositing an amount of Rs.2,00,000/- and the respondent has failed to recover the amount from the appellant, it is ordered to safeguard the interest of EPF subscribers which is of paramount importance that remaining amount to be recovered in eight instalments of Rs.2,00,000/- on the 1st of every month starting from 01.12.2025 till the entire amount is deposited. With this, there is stay on

the recovery proceedings of the respondent. It is made clear that in case the instalment is not paid on time, respondent is at liberty to execute the recovery for the balance amount without seeking any further order from this tribunal.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)