## THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT DELHI - 1, NEW DELHI.

## ID No. 50/2022

Sh. Jagan Giri S/o Sh. Vasant Giri, Through General Mazdoor Lal Jhanda Union (Regd.), B-1/A, Shahdra, Delhi-110093.

...Claimant

Versus

- 1. The Regional Manager, M/s Central Warehousing Corporation, Scope Minar, First Floor, CORE-3, Laxmi Nagar, Distt. Centre, Delhi-110092.
- 2. The Manager, M/s Suman Fowarding Agency Pvt. Ltd., Registered Office-76, G.T. Road (South) Howrah-711101.
- 3. Suman Fowarding Agency Pvt. Ltd., IDC, Near Ghazipur Village, Patparganj, Delhi-110096.

...Management

## **AWARD**

1. In the present case, a reference was received from the appropriate Government vide letter No-L-42011/19/2022 (IR(M)) dated 04.02.2022 under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Act, for adjudication of a dispute, terms of which are as under:

## The Schedule

'Whether the demand of the General Mazdoor Lal Jhanda Union for payment of Bonus in respect of workman Shri Jagan Giri S/o Shri Vasant Giri for the period from 01.04.2019 to 31.03.2020 and 01.04.2020 to 31.12.2020 from the Management of M/s Suman Forwarding Agency Pvt. Ltd. under Central Warehousing Corporation, is legal and justified? If yes, what relief the workman is entitled to?'

2

2. In the reference order, the appropriate Government commanded the parties

raising the dispute to file statement of claim, complete with relevant documents,

list of reliance and witnesses with this Tribunal within 15 days of receipt of the

reference order and to forward a copy of such statement of claim to the opposite

parties involved in the dispute. Despite directions so given, Claimant union opted

not to file the claim statement with the Tribunal.

3. On receipt of the above reference, notice was sent to the workman as well

as the managements. Despite service of the notice, claimant opted to abstain away

from the proceedings. Appearance on behalf of the claimant is marked only on

one occasion. No claim statement was filed on his behalf. Thus, it is clear that the

workman is not interested in adjudication of the reference on merits.

4. In these circumstances, where the claimant is not interested in pursuing his

case and stopped appearing despite serving notice, this Tribunal is left with no

choice, except to pass a 'No Dispute/Claim' award. Let this award be sent to the

appropriate Government, as required under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes

Act, 1947, for publication.

Date: 12.11.2025

ATUL KUMAR GARG **Presiding Officer** 

CGIT – cum – Labour Court – I