

**THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT DELHI - 1,
NEW DELHI.**

ID No. 27/2024

Shri Vijay Bahadur Pal & 104 ors through General Secretary, General Mazdoor Lal Jhanda Union, G-94, Mahavir Enclave, New Delhi-110045.

...Claimant

Versus

1. The Managing Director, Central Warehousing Corporation, ICD, Patparganj, New Delhi-110096.
2. M/s Rahul Roadways H.O. House No. 35, Building No.3, room no. 10/102, 1st Floor, Mansarovar, Bhiwadi-421302 distt. Thane.

...Management

AWARD

1. In the present case, a reference was received from the appropriate Government vide letter No-ND-25/I-87/2023-IR dated 05.02.2024 in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (5) of section 12 read with sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Act, for adjudication of a dispute, terms of which are as under:

The Schedule

‘Whether the action of management of M/s Rahul Roadways contractor of M/s Central Warehousing Corporation, New Delhi in terminating/disengaging the services of workmen concerned (details mentioned in Annexure-A) w.e.f. 06.01.2021 is illegal and/or unjustified? If yes, then what relief is the workmen concerned entitled and what directions are necessary in this respect?’

2. In the reference order, the appropriate Government commanded the parties raising the dispute to file statement of claim, complete with relevant documents, list of reliance and witnesses with this Tribunal within 15 days of receipt of the reference order and to forward a copy of such statement of claim to the opposite parties involved in the dispute. Despite directions so given, Claimant union opted not to file the claim statement with the Tribunal.

3. On receipt of the above reference, notice was sent to the workman as well as the managements. In pursuance to the notice issued, appearance on behalf of the claimant was marked on one occasion. But, statement of claim was never filed till date. Thereafter, fresh notice was issued to the claimant for filing of statement of claim. But, neither the claimant appeared nor any statement of claim was filed before this Tribunal despite issuing notice.

4. Hence, it is clear that the workman is not interested in adjudication of the reference on merits. Thus, this Tribunal is left with no choice, except to pass a 'No Dispute/Claim' award. Let this award be sent to the appropriate Government, as required under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for publication.

Date: 30.10.2025

ATUL KUMAR GARG
Presiding Officer
CGIT – cum – Labour Court – I