

**THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL  
CUM LABOUR COURT DELHI - 1,  
NEW DELHI.**

**ID No.129/2024**

Sh. Manoj Kumar and 11 others, through Airport Employees Union, BTR Bhawan, 13A, Rouse Avenue, New Delhi.

...Claimant

Versus

1. The CEO, M/s CELEBI Delhi Cargo Terminal Management India Pvt. Ltd., Cargo Terminal, IGI Terminal, New Delhi-110037.
2. The CEO, M/s Delhi International Airport Ltd., Uddan Bhawan IGI Terminal, New Delhi-110037.

...Management

**AWARD**

Present: None for the Claimant.

Ms. Muskan Kaushik, A/R for the Managements.

1. In the present case, a reference was received from the appropriate Government vide letter No-ND-25/I-110/2023-IR dated 06.05.2024 under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Act, for adjudication of a dispute, terms of which are as under:

**The Schedule**

***'Whether the services of the workmen Shri Manoj Kumar & 11 others (Details mentioned in Annexure-A) have been terminated w.e.f. 30.06.2020 illegally and/or unjustifiably by the management of M/s BR Power Control & Automation Services contractor of M/s CELEBI Delhi Cargo Terminal Management Pvt. Ltd? If so, then what relief the workmen concerned are entitled and what directions are necessary in this respect?'***

**Sh. Manoj Kumar and 11 others Vs. The CEO, M/s CELEBI Delhi Cargo Terminal Management India Pvt. Ltd. and another.**

2. In the endorsement made in reference order, the appropriate Government commanded the parties raising the dispute to file statement of claim, complete with relevant documents, list of reliance and witnesses with this Tribunal within fifteen days of receipt of the reference order and to forward a copy of such statement of claim to the opposite parties involved in the dispute. Despite directions so given, Claimant opted not to file the claim statement with the Tribunal.

3. On receipt of the above reference, notice was sent to the workman as well as the managements. In pursuance to the notices sent, none appeared on behalf of the claimant. No claim statement was filed on behalf of workman despite repeated adjournments. Thus, it is clear that the workman is not interested in adjudication of the reference on merits.

4. In these circumstances, this Tribunal is left with no choice, except to pass a 'No Dispute/Claim' award. Let this award be sent to the appropriate Government, as required under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for publication.

Date: 12.05.2026

Ajay Kumar Jain  
Presiding Officer  
CGIT – cum – Labour Court-I, Delhi