THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT DELHI - 1, NEW DELHI.

ID No. 184/2023

The General Secretary, CELEBI Employees Union (Regd.), BTR Bhawan, 13-A, Rouse Avenue, New Delhi-110002.

Workman...

Versus

CELEBI Airport Services India Private Limited, (Formerly CELEBI Ground Handling Private Limited) IGI Airport, New Delhi-110037.

Management...

AWARD

In the present case, a reference was received from the appropriate Government vide letter No-L-11011/26/2023-IR(M)) dated 17.07.2023 under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Act, for adjudication of a dispute, terms of which are as under:

The Schedule

- "i) Whether the claim of CELEBI Employees Union (Regd.) that the action of the management of CELEBI Airport Services India Private Limited in not inviting and holding discussion with the Celebi Employees Union on the charter of demands dated 02.04.2018 (Annexure-2) and instead signing the settlement dated 01.04.2018 with a committee of workmen is illegal and/or unjustified, is proper and legally justified? If yes, to what reliefs are the disputant Union entitled and what directions, if any, are necessary in this regard?
- ii) If the above issue at S.No. 1 is answered in favour of the disputant union, then whether the demands as raised in charter of demand dated 02.04.2018

(Annexure-2) to the management are proper, legal and justified? If yes, to what reliefs are the disputant Union entitled and what directions, if any, are

necessary in this regard?"

2. In the reference order, the appropriate Government commanded the parties

raising the dispute to file statement of claim, complete with relevant documents,

list of reliance and witnesses with this Tribunal within 15 days of receipt of the

reference order and to forward a copy of such statement of claim to the opposite

parties involved in the dispute. Despite directions so given, Claimant union opted

not to file the claim statement with the Tribunal.

3. On receipt of the above reference, notice was sent to the workman as well

as the managements. Neither the postal article sent to the claimant, referred above,

was received back nor was it observed by the Tribunal that postal services

remained unserved in the period, referred above. Therefore, every presumption

lies in favor of the fact that the above notice was served upon the claimant. Despite

service of the notice, claimant opted to abstain away from the proceedings. No

claim statement was filed on his behalf. Thus, it is clear that the workman is not

interested in adjudication of the reference on merits.

4. Since the workman has neither put in his appearance nor he led any

evidence so as to prove his cause against the management, this Tribunal is left

with no choice, except to pass a 'No Dispute/Claim' award. Let this award be sent

to the appropriate Government, as required under Section 17 of the Industrial

Disputes Act, 1947, for publication.

Justice Vikas Kunvar Srivastava

Retired Judge, Allahabad High Court

Presiding Officer

Date: 10.09.2024

2