

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-2, MUMBAI**

APPEAL NO. CGIT- 2 / EPFA /101 /2023

Between:

M/s. Bahubali Zilla Nagari Sah. Pat

Sanstha Ltd. Jaysingpur

- Appellant

V/s.

The Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner,

EPFO, Kolhapur.

- Respondent

ORDER

(Delivered on 12-08-2024)

Read application for condonation of delay in filing the appeal. Perused the say given on behalf of the opponent.

Heard the Parties.

It reveals that M/s. Bahubali Zilla Nagari Sah. Pat Sanstha Ltd. Jaysingpur/ appellant-applicant has challenged the legality of the order dated 20.06.2023 passed by the APFC, Kolhapur/opponent in an appeal, which is filed on 21.08.2023.

It is contended on behalf of the applicant that, after obtaining the certified copy of order they were in touch with their Advocate and due to consultation regarding filing of appeal the delay has been caused. The delay is neither intentional nor deliberate therefore requested for condonation of delay.



On careful perusal of the case papers it seems that, the appeal has been filed after about 71 days from the date of passing of order. Admittedly, the appeal has to be filed within 60 days from the date of passing of order it means there is a delay of about 11 days in filing appeal. In my opinion, the delay of about 11 days is negligible and that to with sufficient reason regarding consultation with the Advocate in respect of filing an appeal therefore the applicant is entitled for condonation of delay in filing an appeal.

In the result, The application for condonation of delay is allowed. The delay in filing the appeal is condoned. The appeal is admitted.

Date: 12-08-2024


(Shrikant K. Deshpande)
Presiding Officer
CGIT -2, Mumbai