

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

**Misc. Application in disposed appeal No. 679(14)2008 &  
352(14)2009**

M/s. PGT Component Ltd.

..... Appellant

Through:- Sh. Karan Mehta, proxy (for Sh. S.K. Gupta) counsel for the  
applicant

Vs.

APFC/RPFC, Noida

.... Respondent

Through:- Ms. Santwana Agarwal, ld. counsel & Sh. Aman Prakash,  
A/R for the respondent

**Order Dated:-03.07.2026**

Counsel for the applicant has pressed his application for refund of the amount on the last date of hearing stating that Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vide order dated 23.03.2026 remanded back to the Hon'ble Allahabad High Court for hearing the writ petition no. 24830 of 2023 & 23719 of 2023 and deciding the matter afresh after hearing both the parties. Parties were directed to appear before the Registrar of Hon'ble Allahabad High Court on 09.04.2026, however, it is submitted by both the parties that the matter was not listed on that date.

Ms. Santwana Agarwal, ld. counsel for the respondent has opposed the application stating that the matter is subjudice so the prayer for refund of the amount could not be acceded. She submits that the application is liable to be dismissed.

Record perused. After hearing both the parties, this tribunal had allowed both the appeals bearing no. 679(14)2008 & 352(14)2009 on 12.04.2021 after setting aside the order passed by the respondent. However, nothing has been mentioned in the said order about refund of the amount which was deposited by the appellant as a condition for granting of the stay. However, after more than two year, respondent had filed writ petitions before Hon'ble Allahabad High Court who had set aside the order of the tribunal without affording any opportunity to the appellant/

applicant herein and remanded back the matter to this tribunal. Against the order of the Hon'ble Allahabad High Court, the appellant/ applicant herein had moved before Hon'ble Supreme Court and got set aside the order passed by Hon'ble Allahabad High Court.

In these circumstances, the application has been moved for refund of the amount deposited as condition for stay in both the appeals. It is admitted fact that as on today, there is no stay passed by Hon'ble Allahabad High Court against the order passed by this tribunal. In view of the above, respondent is given a liberty to get stay from Hon'ble Allahabad High Court within three months from today against the order passed by this tribunal otherwise, this tribunal would pass the order for refunding the amount to the appellant/ applicant herein. Let these applications be listed for hearing on 08.10.2026. A copy of this order be sent to both the parties through email.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR  
COURT No. 2/EPFAT, DELHI**

**Misc. application in disposed appeal no. D-2/25/2024  
M/s Seasons Textiles vs. APFC/RPFC, Noida.**

Present: Sh. Haribansh manav, ld. Counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Santwana Agarwal, ld. Counsel & Sh. Aman Prakash,  
A/R for the respondent.

**Order dated-03.07.2026**

This is a misc. application filed by the appellant herein with the prayer to allow him to deposit the amount of Rs.6,47,395/- in six installments. He has calculated the amount as per order dated 05.06.2026 passed by this tribunal and prayed that six installments be given to him.

Per contra, Ms. Santwana Agarwal, ld. counsel for the respondent has opposed the prayer stating that this tribunal has not the power to give the leverage to the appellant for paying the outstanding dues in installments. It is only upon the respondent to give the leverage to the appellant to pay the arrear in installments. For this she has also placed on record the circular bearing no. RRC/28(23)06/BIFR/23781 dated 11.02.2014.

I have heard the arguments of both the counsels. The arguments of the ld. counsel for the respondent are not tenable because this tribunal has been given powers in Rule 21 of the Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1997 to issue directions for giving effect to it's orders in the interest of justice or in furtherance of the object of the provision of the Act. Purpose of the Act is to safeguard the interest of the subscribers. The interest of the subscribers had already been secured when this tribunal had ordered to deposit an amount Rs.5,00,000/- by way of FDR favouring '**Registrar CGIT**' while granting stay on execution of the impugned orders passed u/s 14B & 7 Q of the Act.

Bank Manager, Canara Bank, 112, J.C. Road, Bangalore is directed to refund the amount of Rs. 5,00,000/- along with accrued interest **(deposited by way of FDRs each amounting to Rs.2,50,000/- bearing account no. 1098401003907/5 &**

**1098401003907/6 ) to Regional P.F. Commissioner-Noida** so that the same can be credited in the PF accounts of the subscribers. The prayer of the appellant/ applicant to deposit remaining amount of Rs. 6,47,395/- in installments is allowed. The appellant shall deposit the said amount in three installments as per the following arrangement:-

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Amount of Installment</b>	<b>Date of depositing the installment with the respondent</b>
1.	Rs.2,00,000/-	16-July-2026
2.	Rs.2,00,000/-	16-August-2026
3.	Rs. 2,57,395/-	16-September-2026

The amount of Rs.10,000/- is added in the third installment as a penalty for giving the installment. In case of failure of any installment, the respondent shall have the liberty to recover the balance amount in one go by attaching the bank account of the appellant. Copy of this order be sent to both the parties through email as well as to Bank manager, Canara Bank, 112, J.C. Road, Bangalore alongwith copies of the FDRs.

Atul Kumar Garg  
(Presiding Officer)