Appeal No. D-1/18/2022

M/s. SRS Engineers Through Sh. Ravinder Gupta, A/R for the Appellant Appellant

Vs.

RPFC-II, Delhi (N) Through Sh. Manu Parashar, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

Arguments on the admission of the appeal heard during the course of the arguments. The Ld. Counsel for the Respondent submitted that the appeal is not maintainable as per the prayer clause of the appeal memo. The A/R for the Appellant asked the permission to file amended memo of appeal. Allowed. List the matter on 28/04/2022, if, the amended memo of the appeal is filed.

M/s. I.J.S Electronics Through Ms. Akanksha Narang, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant Appellant

Vs.

APFC, Delhi (E) Through Sh. D.R Rao, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

The Ld. Counsel for the Respondent submitted the LCR which is not numbered, hence, returned. The Ld. Counsel for the Respondent is directed to do proper pagination and submit it again on the next date of hearing. The Ld. Counsel for the Appellant is also directed to file the document showing the date of coverage of the establishment. List the matter again on 27/04/2022.

Presiding Officer

Respondent

Appeal No. D-1/43/2018

M/s. Seven Seas Hospitality Through Sh. Sandeep, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant Appellant

Vs.

RPFC, Delhi (N) Through Sh. S.C Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

The Adjournment is requested by the Ld. Counsel present b the Appellant. The same is not opposed by the Ld Counsel for the Respondent, hence, allowed. List the matter again on 27/04/2022 for hearing on the miscellaneous petition filed for granting stay.

The Ld. Counsel for the Respondent submitted that he has filed a miscellaneous petition for vacation of stay in this matter question to grant stay is still under consideration the application filed by the Ld. Counsel for the Respondent is hereby rejected as being non-maintainable.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT-II, ROUSE AVENUE, DISTRICT COURT COMPLEX, DELHI.

Present:

Smt. Pranita Mohanty, Presiding Officer, C.G.I.T.-Cum-Labour Court-II, New Delhi.

ATA No 1244(4) 2014

M/s. Mesco Airlines Ltd.

Appellant

Respondent

VS.

APFC, Delhi (south)

ORDER DATED:-31/03/2022

Present:- Shri Kumar Vikram, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant. Shri B.B.Pradhan, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

> The matter came up today for consideration of the application by the appellant praying restoration of the appeal dismissed by order dated 09.02.2022 on the grounds stated therein. The said petition has been filed invoking the provisions of Rule 15 of the EPF Appellate Tribunal Procedure Rule 1997. Copy being served the Ld. Counsel Mr. B.B Pradhan appeared and participated in the hearing.

> The contention raised by the appellant is that one early hearing petition was filed by the respondent and the same was allowed. But that order was not communicated for which the appellant could not know the date of hearing leading to dismissal of the appeal. Unless an order of restoration would be passed serious prejudice shall be caused to the appellant.

> In reply the Ld. Counsel Mr. Pradhan submitted that the petition for restoration is not maintainable as the appeal was never dismissed for default but after consideration on merit. The argument of Mr. Pradhan appears convincing in view of the provision laid under Rule 15 of the Rule referred above. The Ld. Counsel for the appellant thus submitted that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition to file in the proper form. Accordingly the petition is rejected as withdrawn.

Appeal No. 629(4)2016

M/s. Indus. Construction Co. Appellant Through Sh. J.R Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant (Vakalatnama filed)

Vs.

APFC, Delhi Through Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

Heard both the counsels. Both the Counsels agreed to file written notes of arguments. List the matter on 23/05/2022 for pronouncement of order.

Presiding Officer

Respondent

Appeal No. 161(4)2016

M/s. Anumap Radiographic Services Through None for the Appellant Appellant

Vs.

APFC, Delhi Through None for the Respondent

Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

Due to paucity of time the matter could not be taken up. List the matter on 10/08/2022 for final arguments.

Appeal No. 200(4)2016

M/s. Chelsea Mills Through Sh. Ghanshyam Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant Appellant

Respondent

Vs.

APFC, Delhi Through Sh. Manish Dhir, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

Due to paucity of time the matter could not be taken up. List the matter on 10/08/2022 for final arguments.

Appeal No. 13(4)2017

M/s. The Centaur Hotel Through None for the Appellant Appellant

Vs.

APFC, Delhi (N) Through Sh. Prem Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

Due to paucity of time the matter could not be taken up. List the matter on 10/08/2022 for final arguments.

Appeal No. 59(4)2017

M/s. I.V Communication Appellant Through Sh. S.P Arora & Sh. Rajiv Arora, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant

Vs.

APFC, Delhi (S) Through Sh. S.C Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

Due to paucity of time the matter could not be taken up. List the matter on 11/04/2022 for final arguments.

Appeal No. D-1/19/2020

M/s. Wear Well India Pvt. Ltd. Through Sh. S.K Khanna, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant Appellant

Vs.

RPFC, Delhi (E) Through Sh. S.C Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

Due to paucity of time the matter could not be taken up. List the matter on 11/04/2022 for final arguments.

Appeal No. D-1/34/2020

M/s. Pyramids Restaurant Through None for the Appellant Appellant

Vs.

APFC, Delhi (N) Through Sh. Rikesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

Due to paucity of time the matter could not be taken up. List the matter on 10/08/2022 for final arguments.

Appeal No. D-2/03/2022

M/s. Supreme Human Resources Pvt. Ltd. Through Sh. J.R Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant Appellant

Vs.

APFC, EPFO, Faridabad Through Sh. B.B Pradhan, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

The compliance report of the order dated 24.02.2022 filed by the Ld. Counsel for the Appellant, is taken on record. The Ld. Counsel for the Respondent confirmed the same. Accordingly, the appeal stands admitted and there shall be stay on operation of the impugned order till finalization of the appeal. List the matter on 02/06/2022 for filing reply by the Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Appeal No. D-2/04/2022

M/s. Universal Manpower Services Through Sh. J.R Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant Appellant

Respondent

Vs.

APFC, EPFO, Faridabad Through Sh. B.B Pradhan, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

The compliance report of the order dated 24.02.2022 filed by the Ld. Counsel for the Appellant, is taken on record. The Ld. Counsel for the Respondent confirmed the same. Accordingly, the appeal stands admitted and there shall be stay on operation of the impugned order till finalization of the appeal. List the matter on 02/06/2022 for filing reply by the Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Appeal No. 260(16)2017

M/s. Tact India Through Sh. S.K Khanna, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant

Appellant

Vs.

APFC, Gurgaon Respondent Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

Arguments heard in part. List the matter again on 22/08/2022 for continuation of the arguments.

Appeal No. D-2/06/2020

M/s. Zapdor Engineering Appellant Through Sh. S.P Arora & Sh. Rajiv Arora, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant

Vs.

APFC, Noida

Respondent

Through Sh.S.N Mahanta, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 31/03/2022

Arguments on the miscellaneous petition filed by the Ld. Counsel for the Appellant/Applicant heard. In all fairness, the date for compliance of the order dated 08.12.2021 read with order dated 22.02.2022 is increased up till 12.04.2022. Interim orders to continue till then. List the matter on 12.04.2022 for reporting compliance by the Appellant.