## Appeal No. D-1/11/2022

M/s. Walter Bushnell Medipure Pvt. Ltd. Through None for the Appellant Appellant

Respondent

Vs.

EPFO, Delhi(S) Through None for the Respondent

#### ORDER DATED :- 11/03/2022

List the matter again for admission hearing on 23/03/2022. Issue notice to both the parties.

#### Appeal No. 593(4)2007

M/s. Indecon Projects & Equipment Through None for the Appellant Appellant

Respondent

Vs.

RPFC, Delhi(E)

Through Sh. Prem Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

## ORDER DATED :- 11/03/2022

Arguments on the miscellaneous petition filed for vacation of stay heard and concluded. List the matter on 21.03.2022 for pronouncement of order on the same.

#### Appeal No. 1081(4)2014

M/s. Hindustan Petroleum Corp. Ltd. Through None for the Appellant Appellant

Respondent

Vs.

APFC, Delhi Through Sh.Prem Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

#### ORDER DATED :- 11/03/2022

Arguments on the miscellaneous petition filed for vacation of stay heard and concluded. List the matter on 21.03.2022 for pronouncement of order on the same.

#### Appeal No. 1077(4)2015

M/s. Jai Balaji Security Services Appellant Through Sh. Manish Malhotra & Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant

Vs.

APFC, Delhi(N) Through Sh.Prem Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

# ORDER DATED :- 11/03/2022

Arguments on the miscellaneous petition filed for vacation of stay heard and concluded. List the matter on 21.03.2022 for pronouncement of order on the same.

**Presiding Officer** 

Respondent

#### Appeal No. 1009(4)2016

M/s. R.D Public School Appellant Through Sh. Manish Malhotra & Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant

Vs.

APFC, Delhi(N) Through Sh. Prem Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

## **ORDER DATED :- 11/03/2022**

Arguments on the miscellaneous petition filed for vacation of stay heard and concluded. List the matter on 21.03.2022 for pronouncement of order on the same.

**Presiding Officer** 

Respondent

#### Appeal No. 949(4)2016

M/s. G & G Services Pvt. Ltd. Through None for the Appellant Appellant

Respondent

Vs.

APFC, Delhi(E)

Through Sh. Prem Prakash Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

#### ORDER DATED :- 11/03/2022

Arguments on the miscellaneous petition filed for vacation of stay heard and concluded. List the matter on 21.03.2022 for pronouncement of order on the same.

## Appeal No. D-1/09/2017

M/s. Prabhat Zarda Factory Through Ms. Nitu Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant Appellant

Respondent

Vs.

APFC, Delhi(N) Through Sh. Prem Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

#### ORDER DATED :- 11/03/2022

Arguments on the miscellaneous petition filed for vacation of stay heard and concluded. List the matter on 21.03.2022 for pronouncement of order on the same.

#### Appeal No. D-1/05/2017

M/s. Ganges International Pvt. Ltd. Through None for the Appellant Appellant

Respondent

Vs.

APFC, Delhi(N) Through Sh. Prem Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

#### ORDER DATED :- 11/03/2022

As the miscellaneous petition filed on behalf of the respondent stands rejected vide order dated 10.12.2021, therefore, list the matter on the date already fixed i.e. 15.03.2022 for final arguments in this matter.

#### Appeal No. 1026(4)2014

M/s. Ajay Earth Movers Through None for the Appellant Appellant

Vs.

APFC, Delhi

Respondent no.I

Through Sh. Prem Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent no. I

#### ORDER DATED :- 11/03/2022

Arguments on behalf Respondent no. I heard and concluded. The Ld. Counsel for the Respondent also requested to file written notes of arguments. The written submissions made on behalf of Respondent no. 2,3,4 & 5 were also perused during the course of hearing. List the matter on 06/04/2022 for pronouncement of order on the same.

# BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT-II, ROUSE AVENUE, DISTRICT COURT COMPLEX, DELHI.

Present:

Smt. PranitaMohanty, Presiding Officer, C.G.I.T.-Cum-Labour Court-II, New Delhi.

## ATA No. D-2/14/2019

M/s. Fernas Construction India Pvt. Ltd.

Appellant

Respondent

VS.

APFC, Gurgaon

## **ORDER DATED :-11/03/2022**

Present:- Shri S.K Khanna, Ld. Counsel for the appellant. Shri S.N Mahanta, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

> The matter came up today by way of mentioning since the LD. Counsel for the respondent filed an application on 09.03.2022 praying therein that an order be passed vacating the stay granted against the impugned order and allowed the respondent to recover the assessed amount. Copy of the petition was served on the Ld. Counsel for the appellant and the matter was heard being argued by the counsels.

> On perusal of the application filed by the respondent it is found that the respondent wants vacation of stay granted by order dated 17.12.2019. Mr. Mahanta the Ld. Counsel for the respondent submitted that unless there would be a speaking order vacating the stay, the respondent authority would not be in a position to execute the impugned order.

> It is surprising that the respondent authorities have moved this application without understanding the spirit of the order dated 17.12.2019 and the direction given there under. At the cost of repetition it is observed that the order of interim stay was a conditional order where under there was a direction that the stay will continue till disposal of the appeal subject to the condition that the appellant shall deposit Rs. 500,000/- by way of challan before the authority within 3 weeks. In the said order dated 17.12.2019 it was made clear that the appellant shall report compliance within 3 weeks from the date of order failing which there will be no stay on implementation of the order.

It is not understood how this order written in simple English language could not be properly interpreted by the authority who instructed their penal counsel to file such an application which served no purpose than over burdening the ducket of the tribunal which is already heavy. While rejecting the application as without merit it is observed that the EPF Authorities shall restrain themselves from filing such kind of petitions in future which has the effect of causing dislocation in the smooth functioning of the tribunal. Since, the respondent is a government organization the tribunal restrains itself from imposing any cost on them for filing such kind of petitions. Call on 23.03.2022 for final argument.

#### Appeal No. D-2/29/2019

M/s. Ascot Estates(Manesar) Pvt. Ltd. Through None for the Appellant Appellant

Respondent

Vs.

RPFC, Gurgaon

Through Sh. Chakradhar Panda, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

#### ORDER DATED :- 11/03/2022

Arguments on the miscellaneous petition filed for vacation on stay heard and concluded. List the matter on 21.03.2022 for pronouncement of order on the same.

#### Appeal No. 811(4)2014

M/s. YKK India Pvt. Ltd. Pvt. Ltd. Through Sh. Vivek Kaushal, for the Appellant Appellant

Vs.

RPFC, Gurgaon Through Sh.S.C Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent Respondent

#### **ORDER DATED :-** 11/03/2022

Final arguments in the matter heard and concluded. List the matter on 06/04/2022 for pronouncement of order.