BEFORE THE HON'BLE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, DELHI; ROOM No.208 ROUSE AVENUE, DISTRICT COURT COMPLEX, NEW DELHI-110002.

Appeal No. D-1/13/2020

M/s. Maharaja Agarsain Public School Appellant Through Sh. S.P Arora & Sh. Rajiv Arora Ld. Counsel for the Appellant

Vs.

RPFC-II, Delhi(N) Through Sh. Judy James, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 04/07/2022

The Ld. Counsel for the Respondent has filed the reply to this appeal which is taken on record. List the matter on 02.11.2022 for final arguments. Meanwhile, the Ld. Counsel for the Appellant shall have liberty to file the rejoinder, if any, along with serving a copy of the same upon the Respondent.

Presiding Officer

Respondent

BEFORE THE HON'BLE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, DELHI; ROOM No.208 ROUSE AVENUE, DISTRICT COURT COMPLEX, NEW DELHI-110002.

Appeal No. D-1/03/2022

M/s. Impressive Data Services Pvt. Ltd. Through Ms. Neha Shrivastav, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant Appellant

Respondent

Vs.

APFC, Delhi (E) Through Ld. S.N Mahanta Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 04/07/2022

The Ld. Counsel for the Respondent wants some more time to fie the reply. Granted. List the matter on 10.08.2022 for filing the reply

Presiding Officer

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT-II, ROUSE AVENUE, DISTRICT COURT COMPLEX, DELHI.

Present:

Smt. Pranita Mohanty, Presiding Officer, C.G.I.T.-Cum-Labour Court-II, New Delhi.

ATA No. D-2/22/2022

M/s. Jaypee Healthcare Limited

Appellant

Respondent

VS.

RPFC, Noida

ORDER DATED :-04/07/2022

Present:-

Shri S.K Gupta, Ld. A/R for the appellant. Shri S.N Mahanta, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

The matter came up today on account of a petition filed by the appellant for early hearing. The Ld. Counsel for the respondent submitted that he is ready for hearing on admission and application filed u/s 70 of the EPF Act. Matter was heard being argued by the counsel for both the parties. The Ld. Counsel for the appellant submitted that the impugned order was passed on 06.05.2022 and the appeal was filed on 22.06.2022. Thus, the appeal has been filed within time. But the EPFO before expiry of the appeal period initiated the proceeding u/s 8F of the Act and attached the bank account which has posed serious difficulty for the appellant in running the business. Hence, he submitted that an order need to be passed pending admission of the appeal for deattachment of the bank account. The Ld. Counsel for the respondent counter argued that the proceeding u/s 8F was started after expiry of the limitation since the passing of the order u/s 7A of the Act. But perusal of the record shows that challenging the order passed u/s 7A the appellant had filed an application u/s 7B of the Act which was disposed of by order dated 06.05.2022 and within a period of 60 days since then the appeal was filed. But the EPFO ignoring the right of the appellant to appeal have initiated the proceeding u/s 8F and have attached the bank account of the appellant maintained with Yes Bank Plot No. 11/48 Shopping Centre Block C Chankyapuri New Delhi bearing account No. 000380200004256. Since, the act of the respondent in attaching the Bank account of the appellant is in gross violation of the statutory right of the later it is directed that the respondent shall issue direction to the bank concerned to de attach the bank account forthwith and shall not recover the assessed amount till admission of the appeal and disposal of the application filed u/s 70 of the Act. The argument on admission and the application u/s 70 being complete list the matter 08/08/2022 for passing of the order.

Presiding Officer