BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, DELHI

D-2/22/2018 M/s Shivalik Prints vs. APFC Faridabad.

Present: None for the Appellant.

None for the Respondent.

Order <u>Dated-29.09.2025</u>

Office has informed that the mail has been received from the appellant counsel about his unavailability due to sudden demise of his neighbour. He has also intimated the same to his opposite counsel who has not appeared. Considering the above said fact, this case is adjourned for 15.10.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg (Presiding Officer)

Later on, Sh. B.B. Pradhan appeared and the noted the proceeding of the day.

BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, DELHI

D-2/27/2019

M/s Hi- trac Manpower Services Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/ RPFC, Gurugram.

Present: None for the Appellant.

None for the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

Office has informed that the mail has been received from the appellant counsel about his unavailability due to sudden demise of his neighbour. He has also intimated the same to his opposite counsel who has not appeared. Considering the above said fact, this case is adjourned for 15.10.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg (Presiding Officer)

Later on, Sh. B.B. Pradhan, Ld. Counsel and Sh. Kamal Sharma, A/R appeared and the noted the proceeding of the day.

BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, DELHI

D-2/07/2020 M/s H.K. Corporation vs. APFC/RPFC, Faridabad.

Present: Sh. S.K. Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. Chakradhar Panda, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

Written argument filed by respondent. Copy supplied. Appellant further submits that he will file audited balance sheet of the appellant establishment within a week. Put up for 14.10.2025 for filing of audited balance sheet and arguments thereafter.

BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, DELHI

D-2/02/2023 M/s Wear Well India Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Noida.

Present: Sh. S.K. Khanna, Ld. counsel for the Appellant.

Ms. Kavya Dixit, Proxy for (Sh. Kaushik Kumar Dey,

Ld. Counsel) for the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

The proxy counsel appearing for the respondent submits that Sh. Kaushik Kr. Dey, Id. counsel is not available due to his heart problems however, record does not speak about this case given to Sh. Kaushik Kr. Dey by the respondent department. The reply in this case is submitted by Sh. Rajesh Kumar. Thereafter, another counsel has been pursuing this case. Now, on behalf of Sh. Kaushik Kr. Dey, submission has been made that he could not appear due to illness.

Considering the above said fact when there is no authority letter placed on record by the respondent assigning this case to Sh. Kaushik Kr. Dey, it is assumed by this tribunal that he has nothing to do with this case. Earlier a number of adjournments were taken by the respondent. The case is reserved for order after hearing the arguments on behalf of the ld. counsel for the appellant.

BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, DELHI

D-2/13/2024

M/s I.I. Inspection and Export Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Gurugram.

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar. Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. Chakradhar Panda, Ld. Counsel for the

Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

Record perused. Office has reported that this case has been inadvertently listed for today in the cause list. Though, a final order has been passed by this tribunal remanding back the matter to the respondent authority and both the parties are directed to appear before the respondent authority today.

Let this file be consigned to record room after making necessary entries in the record.