

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/51/2022

M/s ARC Services vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi East.

Present: Sh. Kartik Rai, Counsel for the Appellant.
Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

Appellant has placed on record the FD bearing no. 50301211947412 issued on 04.09.2025 amounting to Rs.2,84,324/- in compliance of the order dated 23.07.2025 of this tribunal. Accordingly, there shall be complete stay on recovery of the balance amount by the respondent department till finalization of the appeal. Let the matter be listed for filing of reply of the main appeal on 11.12.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/72/2024

M/s Spice Jet Limited vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi West.

Present: Sh. Siddharth Jha proxy for the Appellant.
Sh. Kunal Surhatia, proxy for the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

Respondent has filed reply of the appeal. Copy supplied. Appellant is given four weeks' time for filing of rejoinder if any, with direction to supply advance copy to the respondent. Put up for 17.12.2025 for final arguments.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/79/2024

M/s Spice Jet Limited vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi West.

Present: Sh. Siddharth Jha proxy for the Appellant.
Sh. Kunal Surhatia, for the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

This tribunal had directed the appellant on 20.06.2025 to deposit 15% of the assessed amount as a condition for stay on execution of the impugned orders. The appellant herein had filed a FD amounting to Rs.1,33,06,240/- on 07.08.2025 and requested to grant more time for complying and depositing the rest amount (Rs.2,06,76,518.75/-).

Today, the counsel appearing for the appellant has filed a FD amounting to Rs.2,06,76,519/- and hence, reported the full compliance of the order dated 20.06.2025 passed by this tribunal. Therefore, there shall be complete stay on recovery of the balance amount till finalization of this appeal. Respondent is given a copy of the FD submitted today.

Reply has also been filed of the appeal. Copy supplied. Appellant is given four weeks' time for filing of rejoinder if any, with direction to supply advance copy to the respondent. Put up for 17.12.2025 for final arguments.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/09/2024

M/s G.L. Management Services Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC, Delhi East.

Present: Sh. Pratham, proxy for the Appellant.
Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Deepak Kumar,
A/R for the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

Respondent seeks time for filing of reply of the appeal. Let it be filed within four weeks with direction to supply advance copy to the appellant. Appellant is also required to file rejoinder within next four weeks. Put up for 12.01.2026 for final argument.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/10/2024

M/s Parasvnath Developers Ltd. vs. APFC/ RPFC, Delhi Central.

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar, proxy for the Appellant.
Sh. Rahul Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Rahul, A/R
for the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

Appellant seeks time for filing of rejoinder within week. Let it be filed within a week before the registry after supplying advance copy to the Id. counsel for respondent. Put up for 18.12.2025 for final argument.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/58/2024

M/s Dolphin Mart Pvt. Ltd. Vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi East.

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar proxy for the Appellant.
Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Deepak Kumar,
A/R for the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

Appellant seeks time for filing of rejoinder within week. Let it be filed within a week before the registry after supplying advance copy to the Id. counsel for respondent. Put up for 12.01.2026 for final argument.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

802(4)2015

Evershine House Keeping Services vs. APFC/ RPFC, Delhi.

Present: None for the Appellant.
 Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Ld. Counsel & Sh. A.S Negi, A/R for
 the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

Record perused. This is an appeal filed against the impugned order dated 03.07.2015 passed by RPFC wherein respondent has assessed the damages u/s 14B of the EPF & MP Act, 1952 (hereinafter referred as the Act) to the tune of Rs.29,04,313/-. So far so the interest u/s 7Q of the Act is concerned, appellant herein has preferred a writ petition before Delhi High Court.

Counsel Rajeev Arora has not appeared and submits that he is not in touch with the appellant and he does not know whereabouts of the appellant after the death of the proprietor in 2021 of this proprietorship firm. Thereafter, he is unable to locate the legal heir and he seeks discharge. He has placed on record email sent to this court stating that Sh. Kulvant Singh Hora, the proprietor had passed away in 2021 and he has also brought the fact to the knowledge of Delhi High Court in **writ petition (c) no. 12981/2019 & 13554/2019**.

Respondent had also stated that he has also filed a writ petition before the Delhi High Court challenging an order passed by this tribunal wherein an appeal against the order passed u/s 7A of the Act was allowed. There also, the Ld. Counsel for the appellant has informed about the death of Sh. Kulvant Singh Hora. Ld. Counsel for the respondent has also placed a report submitted by Sh. Devinder Kumar, enforcement officer stating that the whereabouts of the appellant establishment are not traceable.

In these circumstances, it appears that appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. Hence, the appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution. Respondent is at liberty to recover the amount.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

34(4)2016

Adigear International vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi.

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar, proxy for the Appellant.
 Sh. Chakradhar Panda, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

Since the father of the counsel Rajeev Arora is not well hence, request has been made through proxy for seeking adjournment. Let this case be listed for final argument on 11.12.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/09/2022

M/s Automated Mail Processing Centre vs. APFC/ RPFC Delhi West.

Present: Sh. Ritesh Kumar Jha, Postal Assistant for the
Appellant.
Sh. Rajesh Kumar, Proxy for Sh. Sandeep Vishnu, Ld.
counsel the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.09.2025

Appellant representative submits that his counsel is not available today. While the proxy counsel for respondent submits that main counsel is out of Delhi in this case as well as another matter bearing appeal no. D-**1/13/2024 titled as M/s KRF Limited vs. APFC/ RPFC, Delhi West** which is listed tomorrow.

Considering the above said fact, this matter is listed for argument on 06.01.2026.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)