

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-2/33/2019

M/s SCT (P) Ltd. vs. APFC Meerut.

Present: None for the Appellant.
 None for the Respondent.

Order Dated-25.11.2025

Perusal of the appeal and the trial court record reveals that the impugned order has been passed in an unorganised manner. On top of the impugned order, it is mentioned that the order has been assessed for the period 04/2000 to 04/2000. Order further mentions the period of default as 06/2000 to 04/2000 and finally as 04/2000 to 04/2005 in the table made for showing the amount assessed as dues.

The top para of second page of the order indicate that the Enforcement Officer has visited the appellant establishment and contacted the appellant over telephone several times, but he had not produced the record. On further scrutiny of the file, the respondent has assessed the dues on basis of deposit made by the appellant for the month of 04/2000 and 05/2000 which the appellant establishment has admitted that payment was made in respect of few employees because the business had been closed down. The assessing officer has taken this figure by multiplying this figure with the number of months covered in the period of assessment.

Let the Enforcement Officer from Regional office Meerut remain present on the next date of hearing. Enforcement officer is directed to bring the list and details of the employees working in 04/2000 & 05/2000 whose PF had been deposited by the establishment. He is also directed to bring the record showing the contribution, if any deposited by this establishment after 05/2000 upto 2018 along with list of employees bearing their name and parentage working in this establishment. Put up on 15.12.2025 for further consideration.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

Item no. 3

D-2/23/2020

M/s. Antony Road Transport Solutions Pvt. Ltd. vs. RPFC, Noida

Present: None for the Appellant.

Sh. B.B. Pradhan, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-25.11.2025

Written arguments has already been filed on behalf of both the parties. Final arguments also heard and concluded. Let it be reserved for pronouncement of order.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

Item no. 4

D-2/33/2022

OYO Hotels & Homes Pvt. Ltd. vs. RPFC, Gurgaon

Present: Sh. K.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.
Sh. B.B. Pradhan, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-25.11.2025

Part argument heard. Put up for further argument on 12.01.2026.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

Item no. 5

D-2/09/2023

M/s. Oravel Stays Limited. vs. RPFC, Gurugram East

Present: Sh. K.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.
Sh. B.B. Pradhan, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Neeraj, A/R for the
Respondent.

Order Dated-25.11.2025

Part argument heard. Put up for further argument on 12.01.2026.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

Item no. 6

D-2/44/2024

M/s. JPM Automobiles Ltd. vs. APFC/ RPFC, Gurugram West

Present: None for the Appellant.
 Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Lalit Kumar, A/R
 for the Respondent.

Order Dated-25.11.2025

 Since the appellant counsel is not available today, let it be listed
for 14.01.2026.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

