Item No. 1

D-1/15/2023

M/s Mobiloitte Technologies India Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (East).

Present: Sh. Mohit Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. B.B. Pradhan, Ld. Counsel along with Sh. Deepak Kumar, AR

for the respondent.

Order dated-22.09.2025

Appellant's counsel has pressed two applications. First application filed for restoration of the appeal and the other is for substitution of AR. Now come to the first application which has been filed by the appellant for restoration of the appeal which was resulted into dismissal on 19.08.2025. Counsel of the appellant stated that he remained absent on 21.08.2025 because he was pre-occupied in Rohini & Tis Hazari Court. Thereafter, he had noted the wrong date i.e. 21.09.2025 instead of 21.08.2025. For this, he has placed on record the diary maintained by him in respect of the cases listed on the particular dates. His further case is that he has received the notice of dismissal from his client on 11.09.2025 only and he has prepared this application forthwith. He also submits that prior to 1.05.2025; he has been regularly appearing in the present case.

Respondent has left the matter into the discretion of this Tribunal.

Record reveals that the appellant has been continuously appearing prior to 21.05.2025, though, the respondent was negligent at that time. Even the respondent was proceeded ex-parte. Ex-parte order was set aside.

Circumstances demonstrate, at least some bonafide on the part of the appellant for not appearing on the particular date due to pre-occupation in Rohini & Tis Hazari Court. However he should have been more cautious

about the date and at least informed this Tribunal about his unavailability, but, he has not done so. Hence, he should have been burdened with some cost. Accordingly, the application stands allowed subject to the cost of Rs. 2,000/- which shall be deposited with the Registrar, CGIT within a week from today.

Now, take up another application for substituting the AR on behalf of the appellant. Application stands disposed of. From now, Sh. Abul Hafiz Khan is the authorized representative of the appellant.

Let this case be listed for filing of rejoinder on 26.11.2025.

Item No. 2

D-1/33/2025

M/s Pooja Marbles vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (East).

Present:

Sh. Pradeep Asopa & Sh. Ravindra J Satyadev, Ld. Counsels for

the appellant.

Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Ld. Counsel alongwith Sh. Deepak Kumar, AR

for the respondent.

Order dated-22.09.2025

Counsel for the respondent seeks time for filing of reply. At request, the case is adjourned to 11.11.2025 for the same purpose.

Item No. 3

D-1/34/2025

M/s Pooja Marbles vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (East).

Present: Sh. Pradeep Asopa & Sh. Ravindra J Satyadev, Ld. Counsels for

the appellant.

Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Ld. Counsel alongwith Sh. Deepak Kumar, AR

for the respondent.

Order dated-22.09.2025

Counsel for the respondent seeks time for filing of reply. At request, the case is adjourned to 11.11.2025 for the same purpose.

Item No. 4

439(4)2014

M/s Deepak Flat Owners Association vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi.

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar, Proxy Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Kumar (AO Legal, Delhi South), AR for the

respondent.

Order dated-22.09.2025

Counsels of both the parties are not present and requested for adjournment. At request, this case is adjourned to 02.12.2025 for final argument.

Item No. 5

652(4)2014

M/s Delhi Telecom Services vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi.

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel alongwith Sh. Deepak

Kumar, AR for the respondent.

Order dated-22.09.2025

Record perused. On the last date of hearing, at request of Sh. S.K Gupta he is discharged from this appeal. Notice was ordered to be sent to the appellant through mail. Mail has been produced by the respondent department only today before the Tribunal. Let the notice be issued to the appellant. Put up for final argument on 25.11.2025.

Item No. 6

D-1/15/2018

M/s Emsons Chain Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (East).

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar, Proxy Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. S.N Mahanta, Ld. Counsel along with Sh. Deepak Kumar, AR

for the respondent.

Order dated-22.09.2025

Record perused. Prior to 24.11.2022, Sh. Narendra Gupta has represented the department; however, he is not present. Counsel is requested to file the appropriate authority from the department in respect of the fact of contesting this appeal. Let this case be listed for final argument on 02.12.2025.

Item No. 7

D-1/33/2018

M/s Delhi State Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (North) & Ors.

Present: Sh. Rajnish, Proxy Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Vijay Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent-2.

Md. Habeeb in person for respondent-3.

Sh. S.N Mahanta, Ld. Counsel alongwith Sh. A.S. Negi, AR for

the respondent-5.

Order dated-22.09.2025

Proxy Counsel for the appellant seeks time stating that the main counsel is pre-occupied in the Hon'ble High Court. At request, this case is adjourned to 15.12.2025 for final argument.

Item No. 8

D-1/38/2018
M/s Kee Pharma Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (East).

Present: Sh. Pranab Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent.

Order dated-22.09.2025

Counsel for the appellant submits that, establishment has filed the three appeals, two have been filed against the orders passed under section 14-B and one has been filed against the order passed under section 7-A. He submits that all the appeals be heard together. Appeal bearing no. D-1/75/2024 is listed on 08.10.2025 and the appeal bearing no. D-1/102/2019 is posted for 10.12.2025.

Considering the above facts on record, all these three appeals are listed for 03.12.2025. Previous dates in respect of appeals bearing no. D-1/102/2019 and D-1/75/2024 are preponed for 03.12.2025. However, the appellant is directed to comply with the order within the stipulated time, otherwise, stay will be vacated. Appellant is at liberty to file the written submission, subject to the condition of supplying advance copy to the respondent, otherwise, his submission will not be taken on record.