D-1/29/2025
M/s Mahagun India Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/ RPFC, Delhi East

Present: Sh. Prakash Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. Avinash Singh, Proxy Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

Appellant seeks further time to deposit the amount in compliance of the order dated 01.09.2025 whereby he was directed to deposit the 40% of the assessed amount. He has placed on record the order passed by NCLT wherein one of the directors was asked to manage the company, however, still there is a block on withdrawl of the fund and appellant will seek further permission from the NCLT for running the accounts. Let it be listed for compliance on 23.12.2025. Last opportunity is granted for compliance. In the meanwhile, interim order to continue till next date of hearing.

D-1/13/2020

M/s Maharaja Agarsain Public School vs. RPFC-II, Delhi(North)

Present: Sh. Rajiv Arora, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. Ankit, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant.

Sh. Avinash Singh, Proxy for the respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

Reply to the application has been filed by the appellant herein. Copy supplied. Applicant submits that main counsel would like to go through the reply and then argue the matter. Put up for argument on this application on 01.12.2025. Respondent proxy is directed to supply the advance copy of the reply to this application to the appellant as well as the applicant.

D-1/31/2024 M/s A S Construction vs. APFC/ RPFC, Delhi East

Present: Sh. Rajiv Arora, proxy counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. Narendra Kumar, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Sunil Ranjan, A/R

for the Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

Record perused. Respondent seek time for filing of reply to the appeal. Put up for 15.01.2026. In the meanwhile, it is pointed out again that the respondent is at liberty to execute the recovery.

349(4)2015

Supertech Engineering vs. APFC, Delhi

Present: None for the Appellant.

Sh. Narendra Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

Notice issued to the appellant has returned with remark 'no firm exists at the given address'. Record further reveals that since 09.09.2022, nobody has been appearing and pursuing this appeal.

Considering the above facts it appears that appellant is not interested in contesting the appeal. Hence, appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution. Respondent is at liberty to execute recovery. Copy of this order be sent to the respondent by mail.

1456(4)2015

Industrial Medical Engineers vs. APFC, Delhi

Present: None for the Appellant.

Sh. Satpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

Notice issued to the appellant has returned with remark 'no firm exists at the given address'. Respondent is directed to furnish fresh address as well as email of the appellant. Let it be listed for 16.12.2025.

728(4)2016

Lal Bhadur Shastri Samarak vs. APFC, Delhi (N)

Present: Sh. Rajiv Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. Satpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

At request of both the parties, matter is listed for argument on 14.01.2026.

767(4)2016

Rakesh Singh Nursery vs. APFC, Delhi (E)

Present: Sh. Rajiv Arora, Proxy for the Appellant.

Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Sunil Ranjan, A/R for

the Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

Respondent submits that he is recently engaged in this case so he will file the authority letter by the next date of hearing. Since, the main counsel of the appellant is not available today, let the case be fixed for 18.02.2026.

D-1/10/2017 AMBIENCE PVT. LTD vs. APFC-DELHI (SOUTH)

Present: Sh. Rajiv Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. B.B. Pradhan, Ld. Counsel & Ms. Hemlata, A/R for the

Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

As the matter has been adjourned en-bloc since 21.02.2023, the case is listed for final argument on 19.02.2026.

D-1/06/2018
Sam India Builtwell Pvt. Ltd vs. RPFC, Delhi (N)

Present: Sh. Rajiv Arora, Proxy for the Appellant.

Mohd. Rizwan, Proxy for the Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

Since, the main counsel for both the parties are not available today, let the case be fixed for 18.02.2026.

D-1/33/2019

M/s. G.K. Dairy & Milk Products Pvt. Ltd vs. 1. CBT through CPFC 2. RPFC, Delhi (North)

Present: Sh. Rajiv Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

This is second round of litigation. Earlier one was resulted into remanded back with the direction to the appellant to produce the record of the contractor as well as the list of excluded employees which he claims that the assessment has been made such employees of the contractor and he has nothing to do with the liability affixed upon him. However, the order does not reflect any efforts made by the appellant to produce the record as he was directed to bring all the record or communication if he has sent any to the respondent during the course of the enquiry. Put up for 19.02.2026 for further arguments.

D-1/41/2019

M/s. Walltracts (India) Pvt. Ltd vs. APFC, Delhi (East)

Present: Sh. Dharmendra Kumar, Proxy for the Appellant.

Sh. Sunil Ranjan, A/R for the Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

Since the main counsels for both the parties are not available today, let the matter be listed for 25.02.2026.

D-1/97/2019

M/s.Reliance HR Services Pvt. Ltd vs. APFC, Delhi (South)

Present: Sh. S.K. Khanna, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Ld. Counsel & Ms. Hemlata, A/R for the

Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

Part argument heard. Put up for further argument on 25.02.2026.

D-1/65/2024

M/s Ram Lal Kundan Lal. vs. APFC/ RPFC, Delhi East

Present: Sh. Prakash Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. Avinash Singh, proxy Counsel & Sh. Sunil Ranjan, A/R

for the Respondent.

Order Dated-17.11.2025

Appellant seeks two weeks time for argument in this case. Put up for argument on 16.12.2025. Respondent's right to file the reply has already been closed.