Item no.- 2

D-1/41/2020

M/s Pitambara's Transport Services vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi East 2, ICICI Bank Ltd.

Present: Sh. Sachin Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Santosh, Proxy Counsel for Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Ld. Counsel

along with Sh. Deepak Kumar, AR for the respondent.

Order dated-15.09.2025

At request of both the parties, this case is adjourned to 30.10.2025 for the same purpose.

Item no.- 3

D-1/50/2024

M/s B.R. Products Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi (West).

Present: Sh. Rajiv Arora, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Avinash Singh, Proxy Counsel for the respondent.

Order dated-15.09.2025

Proxy counsel for the respondent seeks time stating that main is busy in High Court, however record reveals that out of 10 dates, only twice the counsel for the respondent Sh. K.K Jha appeared, thereafter, he has not been appearing and the matter has been adjourning from several dates.

In these circumstances, last opportunity is given to the respondent's counsel to advance the argument on the stay application, otherwise, a cost of Rs. 5,000/- would be imposed. Put up for 29.10.2025 for final argument.

Item no.- 4

M.A. No. 102/2024 in D-1/51/2024 M/s Ambitious Pens Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi (West).

Present: Sh. Rajiv Arora, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Avinash Singh, Proxy Counsel for the respondent.

Order dated-15.09.2025

Proxy counsel for the respondent seeks time stating that main counsel is busy in High Court, however record reveals that out of 10 dates, only twice the counsel for the respondent Sh. K.K Jha appeared, thereafter, he has not been appearing, matter has been adjourning from several dates.

In these circumstances, last opportunity is given to the respondent's counsel to advance the argument on the stay application, otherwise, a cost of Rs. 5,000/- would be imposed. Put up for 29.10.2025 for final argument.

Item no.- 6

D-1/24/2024

M/s Beni International vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi (East).

Present: Ms. Arpita Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel along with Sh. Deepak

Kumar, AR for the respondent.

Order dated-15.09.2025

Counsel for the respondent seeks time for filing of reply. At request, the case is adjourned to 11.11.2025 for filing of reply.

Item no.- 7

D-1/53/2024

M/s Pitamabara's Transport Service vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi East 2.

Present: Sh. Sachin Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent.

Order dated-15.09.2025

At request of both the parties, this case is adjourned to 30.10.2025 for the same purpose.

Item no.- 8

D-1/71/2024

M/s MI2C Security and Facilities Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi (North).

Present:

Sh. Raj Kumar, AR for the appellant.

Sh. Tejasvi Goel, Ld. Counsel for the respondent.

Order dated-15.09.2025

Counsel for the respondent submits that he will file the reply of the main appeal within a week because the same has been sent for approval. Put up for 24.09.2025 for filing of reply.

Item no.- 9

246(4)2011

M/s Royal Televentures vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi.

Present: Sh. S.K Gupta along with Raj Kumar, Ld. Counsels for the

appellant.

Sh. Rahul, AR for the respondent.

Order dated-15.09.2025

As the counsel of the respondent is not present, this case is adjourned to 29.10.2025 for final argument.

<u>Item no.- 10</u>

D-1/06/2017

M/s Profacilities Services Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi (East).

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Yash Narayan, Proxy Counsel along with Sh. Deepak Kumar,

AR for the respondent.

Order dated-15.09.2025

Record perused. Matter has been adjourning en-bloc since 21.09.2023, let it be listed for final argument on 03.12.2025. In the meanwhile, a fresh notice be issued to the appellant as well as his counsel to appear and argue the matter.

Item no.- 11

D-1/05/2019

M/s Centralized Accident Trauma Services vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi (North).

Present: S

Sh. Naresh Kumar, AR for the appellant.

Sh. Sanjay, Proxy Counsel for the respondent.

Order dated-15.09.2025

Counsel of the respondent has drawn the attention of this Tribunal towards the order dated 04.09.2019, whereby, this Tribunal had directed the appellant to deposit the 15% of the assessed amount over and above, the amount which has already been recovered by way of attachment. He submits that he is unaware whether the condition has been complied or not. Registry is directed to check and report the compliance position.

AR for the appellant stated that, main counsel is pre-occupied in the High Court and request for adjournment. At request, this matter is listed for 25.09.2025 for final argument.

<u>Item no.- 12</u>

D-1/16/2019

M/s Four Square Media Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi (N).

Present: Sh. Rajiv Arora, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Santosh, Proxy Counsel for Sh. S.N Mahanta, Ld. Counsel

for the respondent.

Order dated-15.09.2025

Proxy counsel for the respondent seeks time for final argument stating that, main counsel is pre-occupied in the High Court. At request, this case is adjourned to 09.12.2025 for final argument.

<u>Item no.- 13</u>

D-1/74/2019

M/s The Sikh Times vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi (North).

Present: Sh. Rajiv Arora, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel along with Sh. Mahender

Meena, AR for the respondent.

Order dated-15.09.2025

At request of the appellant's counsel, this case is adjourned to 04.12.2025 for final argument.

Item no.- 14

D-1/73/2024

M/s Sakha Consulting Wings Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi South.

Present: Sh. Sanjay, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Prateek Tyagi, AR for the respondent.

Order dated-15.09.2025

Office has reported that, a mail has been received from Sh. B.B Pradhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondent about his unavailability to appear before this Tribunal because of his pre-occupation in the Hon'ble High Court in the matter of Food Corporation of India which has been fixed at 2:30 PM on board.

In these circumstances, this case is listed for final argument on 17.12.2025.