Item no.- 2

D-1/42/2022

M/s Eaton Power Quality Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (South).

Present: Sh. Vishal Sharma, Proxy Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Chakradhar Panda, Ld. Counsel along with Sh. Ashutosh

Kumar, AR for the respondent.

Order dated-14.11.2025

Rejoinder has been filed by the appellant's counsel. Copy furnished to the respondent. Put up for final argument on 11.02.2026.

D-1/34/2023

M/s. Multiple Zones India Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC, Delhi (E)

Present:

Sh. S.K. Khanna, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. B.B. Pradhan, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order dated- 14.11.2025

The Ld. Counsel for the appellant submits that he does not wish to file a rejoinder and intends to proceed with the arguments.

In view of the above, the matter is adjourned to 10.02.2026 for final arguments.

Item no.- 4

D-1/25/2024

M/s Patliputra Solutions Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (East).

Present: Sh. Prakash Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel along with Sunil Ranjan, AR

for the respondent.

Order dated-14.11.2025

Respondent's counsel seeks adjournment for filing of reply to the appeal. He is at liberty to file the reply of the appeal within four weeks, with direction to supply the same to the appellant's counsel. Thereafter, appellant is directed to file the rejoinder within next two weeks, with direction to supply the same to the respondent's counsel. Let this matter be listed in the category of final argument on 12.01.2026.

Item no.- 5

D-1/20/2025

M/s Mayo International School vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (East).

Present: Sh. Prakash Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel along with Sunil Ranjan, AR

for the respondent.

Order dated-14.11.2025

Appellant's counsel seeks adjournment for filing of rejoinder. He is at liberty to file the rejoinder within two weeks, with direction to supply the same to the respondent's counsel. Let this matter be listed in the category of final argument on 12.02.2026.

D-1/05/2019

M/s Centralized Accident Trauma Services APFC vs. Delhi (North)

Present:

Ms. Aditi Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the appellant. Sh. Sanjay Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order dated- 14.11.2025

The appellant's contention is that it is wholly funded by the Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi, in respect of the services rendered by it to the society.

The respondent has submitted that the appellant had been complying with the provisions of EPF & MP Act, 1952, prior to 2010 in respect of its employees, but stopped paying its contributions when it started to engage contractual workers. A notification has been placed on record regarding the exemption available to societies wholly funded by the Government of India, a State Government, or partly by both, from the operation of the Act.

The counsel for the appellant is directed to place on record the application moved before the GNCTD seeking 100% grant in aid, along with the sanction letter.

Accordingly, the matter is listed for final arguments on 23.12.2025.

774(4)2013

M/s Divas Laboratories vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi.

Present: Sh. S.K. Khanna, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Santwana Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent.

Order dated-14.11.2025

Vide my separate order pronounced today, application for condonation of delay stands allowed. Put up for final arguments on 10.02.2026.