D-2/13/2025

M/s Sona Koyo Steering System Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Gurugram.

Present:

Sh. Gaurav Kumar, Sh. S.K. Gupta, Sh. Yajat Kumar (AR),

Sh. Raj Kumar, Sh. Harshit Verma from H.L. Kumar and

Associates for the Appellant.

Sh. Chakradhar Panda, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order dated-11.09.2025

Respondent seeks time for filing of reply stating that the fact of the appeal is complex in nature. In the interest of justice prayer to grant more time is allowed. Put up for filing of reply of the appeal on 08.10.2025.

Testally Omcor

place in file

808(14)2016

M/s Viraj Exports vs. APFC Noida.

Present:

Sh. Rajiv Arora, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order dated-11.09.2025

Ld. Counsel for the appellant submits that his father is not well and seeks adjournment. Let it be listed to final argument on 08.10.2025.

blace in file

D-2/32/2019 M/s Viraj Exports vs. APFC Noida.

Present:

Sh. Rajiv Arora, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.

Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order dated-11.09.2025

Ld. Counsel for the appellant submits that his father is not well and seeks adjournment. Let it be listed to final argument on 08.10.2025.

Place in tile

D-2/27/2022

M/s IL & FS Engineering & Construction Company Ltd. vs. RPFC, Gurugram

Present:

Sh. S.K. Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.

None for the Respondent.

Order dated-11.09.2025

Record perused. On 12.11.2024 this court had noticed about the stay granted by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi against the order passed by this tribunal on 29.08.2022, wherein this tribunal while disposing off the application u/s 70 had directed the appellant to deposit the 25% of the assessed amount. The order dated 29.08.2022 passed by this tribunal was stayed by the High Court on 04.11.2022 and the matter is still pending.

Irrespective of the fact about the stay, no stay was granted on furtherance of proceedings in the appeal filed before this tribunal. Hence, this tribunal vide order dated 12.11.2024 had directed the respondent to file the reply of the appeal.

Considering the fact that stay had been granted on the order dated 29.08.2022 which does not affect the merit of the case, respondent has filed the reply of the appeal. Appellant is directed to file rejoinder within four weeks with direction to supply copy of the same to the respondent. Thereafter, matter be listed for final argument on 04.11.2025.

order be communicated by email

DA

23/9/24

D-2/29/2022

M/s IL & FS Engineering & Construction Company Ltd. vs. RPFC, Gurugram

Present:

Sh. S.K. Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.

None for the Respondent.

Order dated-11.09.2025

Record perused. On 12.11.2024 this court had noticed about the stay granted by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi against the order passed by this tribunal on 29.08.2022, wherein this tribunal while disposing off the application u/s 70 had directed the appellant to deposit the 25% of the assessed amount. The order dated 29.08.2022 passed by this tribunal was stayed by the High Court on 04.11.2022 and the matter is still pending.

Irrespective of the fact about the stay, no stay was granted on furtherance of proceedings in the appeal filed before this tribunal. Hence, this tribunal vide order dated 12.11.2024 had directed the respondent to file the reply of the appeal.

Considering the fact that stay had been granted on the order dated 29.08.2022 which does not affect the merit of the case, respondent has filed the reply of the appeal. Appellant is directed to file rejoinder within four weeks with direction to supply copy of the same to the respondent. Thereafter, matter be listed for final argument on 04.11.2025.

order be commenicaled through chail

DA