

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

**D-1/32/2023**

**M/s Medsave Health Insurance TPA Ltd. vs. APFC, Delhi West.**

Present: Sh. Neeraj Kumar, Proxy for the Appellant.  
Sh. Sandeep, A/R for the Respondent.

**Order dated-11.09.2025**

Since the counsel for both the parties are not present, the matter is listed for arguments on the misc. application filed for seeking stay on 25.09.2025.

*Order be communicated  
to both the parties by mail*

DA

*Q*  
23/9/25

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

**D-1/39/2024**

**M/s Jigson Enterprises vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi Central.**

Present: Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.  
Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

**Order dated-11.09.2025**


Appellant submits that he will file the application for amending the appeal considering the ground urged by him in the appeal pertain to the section **14B and 7Q of the EPF & MP Act, 1952 (hereinafter referred as the Act)** while appeal is filed against the impugned order passed u/s 7A of the Act.

Record further reveals that this fact has come to the notice when the respondent counsel has raised the question at the time of argument of the application u/s 7O of the Act.

Appellant submits that he be allowed to withdraw the appeal however liberty be given to him to incorporate all grounds u/s 7A of the Act.

I have heard the counsels and perused the record. For just and proper adjudication of the matter, the best recourse would be to file the appeal afresh incorporating all the facts correctly which is not possible if an application for amendment is allowed in the present case as it shall cause confusion while adjudicating the matter.

The prayer of the appellant to withdraw the present appeal with a liberty to file afresh is allowed. In the meanwhile, if the appeal is filed within one week, respondent is directed not to take any action. Let this file consigned to the record room.



**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

**D-1/04/2024**

**M/s G.K. Motel Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi South.**

Present: Sh. S.K. Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.  
Sh. Chakradhar Panda, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.


**Order dated-11.09.2025**

Office has reported that compliance of the order dated 28.07.2025 has been made by the appellant as an FDR no.24223512 (ICICI Bank Ltd.) dated 09.09.2025 amounting to Rs.1,00,000/-.

As the appellant has complied with the condition imposed for granting stay, there shall be stay on operation of the impugned orders till finalization of this appeal. Put up for filing of reply of the appeal by respondent on 08.10.2025.

be uploaded on  
Portal

DA

  
24/9/25

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

**161(4)2013**

**M/s Jadli Foods India Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi.**

Present: Sh. Rajiv Arora, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.  
Sh. Niranjana Das, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

**Order dated-11.09.2025**

Ld. Counsel for the appellant submits that his father is not well and seeks adjournment. Let it be listed to final argument on 08.10.2025.

be uploaded on  
portal

DA

  
28/9/25

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

**1077(4)2015**

**M/s Jai Balaji Security Services vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (N).**

Present: Sh. Neeraj Kumar Proxy for the Appellant.  
Sh. Sanjay Agarwal, for the Respondent.

**Order dated-11.09.2025**

Respondent submits that he had already served the copy of the written submissions to the appellant in Feb'2025. He has filed hard copy in the court today.

Record perused. The matter has been adjourned en-bloc since 16.10.2023. Last opportunity is granted. Let it be fixed for arguments on 19.11.2025.

(1

*Order be communicated  
by email to both the  
parties.*

DA

*[Signature]*  
23/9/24

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

**601(4)2015**

**M/s Sanjeev Khurana vs. APFC, Delhi.**

Present: None for the Appellant.

Sh. Satpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

**Order dated-11.09.2025**

Record perused. The matter has been adjourned en-bloc since 13.10.2023 and not come on board since then. In these circumstances, the appeal is adjourned for final argument on 19.11.2025. A copy of this order be sent to the appellant through email.

*email be sent to  
the appellant.*

*JA*

*23/9/25*



**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

**525(4)2016**

**M/s Safexpress Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC, Delhi (West).**

Present: Sh. S.K. Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.  
Sh. Sanjay Agarwal, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

**Order dated-11.09.2025**

Final arguments in the matter heard in part. Let it be fixed for further arguments on 19.11.2025.

*Place in file*

DA

*Q*  
23/9/25

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

**757(4)2016**

**M/s P.P. Buildwell Pvt. Ltd. Vs. APFC, Delhi North.**

Present:     None for the Appellant.  
                 Sh. Abhishek Sharma, for the Respondent.

**Order dated-11.09.2025**

Record perused. The matter has been adjourned en-bloc since 24.11.2023. In these circumstances, the appeal is adjourned for final argument on 24.11.2025. A copy of this order be sent to the appellant through email.

(Residing Officer)

*order be sent to  
both the parties by  
email*

DA

*[Signature]*  
23/9/25



**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**


**D-1/17/2021**

**M/s Rajiv Gandhi Cancer Institute and Research Centre vs. RPFC, Delhi  
North.**

Present: Sh. Chetan Singh, proxy for the Appellant.  
Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

**Order dated-11.09.2025**

Appellant seeks time for filing of rejoinder. Put up for filing of  
rejoinder on 26.11.2025.



*be uploaded on  
portal.*

DA

*[Signature]*  
23/9/25

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**


**D-1/59/2022**

**M/s Chandramani Pvt. Ltd. vs. RPFC-II, Delhi East.**

Present: Sh. Karunesh Shah, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.  
Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

**Order dated-11.09.2025**

Appellant has filed written notes of submissions. Copy supplied.  
Put up for filing of written notes of arguments by the respondent  
otherwise, matter would be heard finally. Put up for 26.11.2025.

  
(Residing Officer)

be uploaded on  
portal

DA

  
23/9/25

BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, DELHI

D-1/35/2022

M/s Vishakha Facility Management Pvt. Ltd. vs. RPFC, Delhi East.


Present: Sh. Nikhil Patnaik, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.  
Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

**Order dated-11.09.2025**

File is taken up on the application of the appellant for preponement of hearing. He has stated that entire amount has already been recovered by the respondent before filing of the appeal and he had moved an application for refund of the recovered amount which was rejected by this tribunal way back in 2022. He submitted that there is no progress in this case since 2023 and the amount involved in this appeal is very huge, the date given in this matter is in the month of November, 2025 and the same be preponed.

Respondent counsel Sh. S.N. Mahanta who is appearing before this tribunal in another matter listed today has given his appearance in this matter and left the matter to the discretion of this tribunal.

Considering the facts and circumstances of this appeal the date is preponed. Put up on 10.10.2025 on final arguments.



**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM  
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

**D-1/75/2024**

**M/s Kee Pharma Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi North.**

Present: Sh. Pranav Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.  
Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

**Order dated-11.09.2025**

File is taken up on the application of the appellant whereby the appellant has filed an FDR amounting to Rs.10,00,000/- in compliance of the order dated 17.07.2025 and submitted that he be given time to deposit the balance amount of Rs.15,00,000/- by the next date of hearing. He submits that he has tried to comply with the order of this tribunal dated 17.07.2025 wherein this court has directed to deposit an amount of Rs.25,00,000/- within six weeks as pre condition for admitting the appeal. However, he is able to deposit Rs.10,00,000/-. He has assured that he will deposit the remaining amount on the next date of hearing. Respondent has left the issue raised in this application on the discretion of this tribunal.

Considering the above fact, his prayer to deposit the balance amount of Rs.15,00,000/- by the next date of hearing i.e. 08.10.2025 is allowed. Copy of this order be given to both the parties through email. In the meanwhile, interim orders to continue till next date of hearing.

