

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/22/2025

M/s. D.S. EnterprisesAppellant
Through:- None for the appellant.

Vs.

RPFC/APFC, Gurugram & Anr. ...Respondent
Through:- Sh. Narender Kumar & Ms. Sabrata, ld. counsel
for the Respondent.

Order Dated:-09.04.2026

Ld. counsel for the respondent filed his vakalatnama. He seeks time to file reply to misc. application filed for condonation of delay. List the matter on 07.05.2026 for filing of reply to the misc. application filed for condonation of delay. Respondent is further directed to produce the trial court record on the next date of hearing. In the meanwhile, interim orders to continue till next date of hearing.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/08/2024

M/s. Arabtec Construction India Pvt. Ltd. Appellant

Through:- None for the appellant

Vs.

APFC/RPFC, Gurugram Respondent No. 1 to 3

Through:- None for the respondent no. 1-3

Siddhi Construction Respondent no. 4

Through: None for the Respondent no. 4

Order Dated:-09.04.2026

Perusal of the record reveals that the respondents had sought time to file reply to this appeal, but neither anyone has appeared today on behalf of the respondents nor any reply has been filed. In these circumstances, a fresh notice be issued to the appellant as well as all the respondents for filing reply to the appeal, otherwise this tribunal will decide the case on basis of the record. List on 30.04.2026 for filing of reply by respondents.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

Later, Sh. B.B. Pradhan who appeared to represent the respondent in item no. 4 & 5 of today's cause list stated that he be given more time to file the reply as the same is still awaited from the office of the respondent. He also informed that he had received a call from respondent no. 4 who is in process of engaging a counsel for representing him.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/36/2022

M/s. IPSAA Holding Pvt. Ltd.Appellant
Through:- Sh. M.K. Pandey, ld. counsel for the appellant.

Vs.

RPFC/APFC, Gurugram East ...Respondent
Through:- Sh. Chakradhar Panda, ld. counsel for the
Respondent.

Order Dated:-09.04.2026

Ld. counsel for appellant stated that he has submitted his arguments on the previous date and now ld. counsel for respondent is required to submit the arguments. Now list the matter on 08.05.2026 for remaining arguments.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/02/2024 & D-2/03/2024

M/s. Cadence Design Systems (India) Pvt. Ltd.

.....Appellant

Through:- Sh. S.K. Khanna, ld. counsel for the appellant.

Vs.

RPFC/APFC, Noida

...Respondent

Through:- Sh. B.B. Pradhan, ld. counsel for the
Respondent.

Order Dated:-09.04.2026

Respondent has failed to comply with the directions passed by this tribunal vide order dated 17.11.2025 and 01.12.2025 wherein he was directed to file the bifurcation of salary in respect of domestic workers as well as international workers. Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks a last opportunity to comply with the above directions. In the interest of justice, respondent is granted a last opportunity to comply with the directions. List the case on 30.04.2026. A copy of this order be placed in both the files.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/01/2023 & D-2/04/2023

(Taken up by way of mentioning by ld. counsel for appellant)

M/s. Revent Precision Engineering Ltd.Appellant
Vs.

RPFC/APFC, Noida ...Respondent
Through:- Sh. B.B. Pradhan, ld. counsel for the
Respondent.

Order Dated:-09.04.2026

As reported by the office, these two appeals had been reserved for orders after hearing the final arguments on 25.09.2024.

It is also a matter of fact that this tribunal has been occupied for almost a year in deciding and disposing the cases of CGIT 1 Delhi whose additional charge was entrusted to the undersigned. Therefore, order could not be dictated in these appeals. Since, a period of one and a half year has elapsed, a fresh hearing is required in these matters. It is directed to release these matters from the list of reserved matters and list these matters on 04.05.2026 for hearing. Notice be issued to both the parties through email. A copy of this order be also annexed with the notice.

(Atul Kumar Garg)
Presiding Officer