D-1/46/2024

M/s. Competent Human Resource & Allied Services Pvt. Ltd. vs. RPFC/APFC, Delhi (Central).

Present:

Sh. S.K. Gupta, proxy for the appellant. None for the Respondent.

Order dated- 06.11.2025

The proxy counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant has sought an adjournment due to the strike called by the Coordination Committee of Delhi's District Court Bar Associations.

In view of the said request, the matter is adjourned to **08.12.2025** for arguments on the stay application.

D-1/41/2025

M/s. Jigson Enterprises vs. RPFC RO Delhi (East).

Present:

Sh. Rakesh Kumar, proxy for the appellant.

Sh. S.N. Mahanta, proxy for the Respondent.

Order dated- 06.11.2025

The proxy counsels appearing on behalf of both parties have sought an adjournment due to the strike called by the Coordination Committee of Delhi's District Court Bar Associations.

In view of the above, this matter is adjourned to 08.12.2025 for filing of a reply to the application filed under section 70 of the EPF & MP Act, 1952. In the meanwhile, interim order if any be continued.

D-1/48/2025

M/s. Municipal Corporation of Delhi vs. APFC, RO Delhi (North).

Present:

Sh. Mukesh, Authorized Representative, for the appellant. Ms. Kavya Dixit, proxy for the Respondent.

Order dated- 06.11.2025

The office has reported that the appeal has been filed within the period of limitation as prescribed under Rule 7(2) of the Employees' Provident Fund Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1997.

Moreover, representatives for both parties have sought an adjournment due to the strike called by the Coordination Committee of Delhi's District Court Bar Associations.

In view of the said request, the matter is adjourned to **08.12.2025** for arguments on the stay application. In the meantime, the respondent is directed to refrain from taking any coercive action.

D-1/08/2025

M/s. Sarvesh Security Services Pvt. Ltd. vs. RPFC/APFC, Delhi (East). Present:

Ms. Rajeshwari, proxy for the appellant. Sh. Narendra Kumar, proxy for the Respondent.

Order dated- 06.11.2025

The proxy counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent has sought some more time to file a reply to the main appeal. Moreover, interim stay granted by this Tribunal for recovery of the amount on 13.02.2025 shall remain absolute till finalization of the appeal.

Accordingly, the matter is adjourned to 13.01.2026 for filing of the reply to the main appeal. The Counsel for the respondent is directed to furnish an advance copy of the same to the Ld. Counsel for the appellant, who may file a rejoinder, if he wishes to.

Accordingly, the matter is listed on 13.01.2026 for filing of reply and rejoinder, if any.

1015(4)2014

M/s. SPS Services vs. RPFC, Delhi.

Present:

None for the appellant.

Sh. Arvind Kumar, Authorized Representative, for the Respondent.

Order dated- 06.11.2025

Record perused. This matter has been adjourned en-bloc since 01.05.2023 and has come on board after a long time.

A notice be issued to the appellant, directing them to appear and address final arguments.

Accordingly, the matter is listed for final arguments on 13.01.2026.

1016(4)2014

M/s. KS Anodisers Aluminium Associated Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC, Delhi.

Present:

None for the appellant.

Sh. Sunil Ranjan, Authorized Representative for the Respondent.

Order dated- 06.11.2025

Record perused. This matter has been adjourned en-bloc since 01.05.2023 and has come on board after a long time.

A notice be issued to the appellant, directing them to appear and address final arguments.

Accordingly, the matter is listed for final arguments on 14.01.2026.

1026(4)2014

M/s. Ajay Earth Movers vs. APFC, Delhi.

Present:

Sh. S.K. Gupta, proxy for the appellant.

Sh. A.S. Negi, Authorized Representative for the Respondent.

Order dated- 06.11.2025

Record perused. This matter has been adjourned en-bloc since 01.05.2023 and has come on board after a long time.

Moreover, representatives for both parties have sought an adjournment due to the strike called by the Coordination Committee of Delhi's District Court Bar Associations.

In view of the above, this matter is adjourned to 15.01.2026 for final arguments.

951(4)2016

M/s. Datanet Associates Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC, Delhi (North).

Present:

Sh. S.K. Gupta, proxy for the appellant.

Sh. A.S. Negi, Authorized Representative for the Respondent.

Order dated- 06.11.2025

Representatives for both parties have sought an adjournment due to the strike called by the Coordination Committee of Delhi's District Court Bar Associations.

In view of the above, this matter is adjourned to 19.01.2026 for final arguments.

1008(4)2016

M/s. Venus Auto Product vs. APFC, Delhi (N).

Present:

Sh. Pratham Prabhakar, proxy for the appellant.

Sh. S.N. Mahanta, proxy for the Respondent.

Order dated- 06.11.2025

Proxy counsels appearing on behalf of both parties have sought an adjournment due to the strike called by the Coordination Committee of Delhi's District Court Bar Associations.

In view of the above, this matter is adjourned to 19.01.2026 for final arguments.

D-1/87/2019

M/s. Schneider Electric India Pvt. Ltd. vs. RPFC, Delhi (North).

Present:

Sh. Siddhant, proxy for the appellant.

Sh. Vijay Kumar, proxy along with Sh. A.S. Negi, Authorized Representative for the Respondent.

Order dated- 06.11.2025

The office has reported that another appeal pertaining to M/s. Schneider Electric India Pvt. Ltd. (D-1/88/2019) was directed to be listed along with the present appeal today. However, due to an inadvertent error, the same couldn't be listed.

Moreover, proxy counsels appearing on behalf of both parties have sought an adjournment due to the strike called by the Coordination Committee of Delhi's District Court Bar Associations.

In view of the above, this matter is adjourned to 20.01.2026 for final arguments. The office is directed to list the other appeal bearing no. D-1/88/2019 along with this matter on the date fixed.

D-1/10/2020

M/s. Eastern Telecom Technology Pvt. Ltd. vs. RPFC-II, Delhi (Central). Present:

None for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Kumar Singh, Authorized Representative for the Respondent.

Order dated- 06.11.2025

In the morning, the counsel for the appellant, Ms. Shama Sharma had appeared and submitted that she would not be available after noon and, therefore, sought an adjournment.

Moreover, due to the strike called by the Coordination Committee of Delhi's District Court Bar Associations, Ld. Counsel for the respondent has also not appeared.

In view of the above, the matter is adjourned to 21.01.2026 for final arguments.

D-1/19/2020

M/s. Wear Well India Pvt. Ltd. vs. RPFC, Delhi (East).

Present:

Sh. S.K. Khanna, proxy for the appellant.

Sh. Narendra Kumar, proxy for the Respondent.

Order dated- 06.11.2025

Due to the strike called by the Coordination Committee of Delhi's District Court Bar Associations, proxy counsels appearing on behalf of both parties have sought an adjournment.

In view of the said request, the matter is adjourned to 21.01.2026 for final arguments.

D-1/31/2020

M/s. Parth Engineering Works vs. CBT through CPFC-II, RPFC-Delhi (South) and APFC, Delhi (South).

Present:

None for the appellant.

Ms. Santwana Aggarwal, proxy for Respondents No. 1,2 & 3. Sh. Akhilesh Kumar, Authorized Representative for respondent No. 4 (DMRC).

Order dated- 06.11.2025

Due to the strike called by the Coordination Committee of Delhi's District Court Bar Associations, a proxy counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No. 1, 2 & 3, and the A/R for respondents No. 4, have sought an adjournment.

In view of the said request, the matter is adjourned to 22.01.2026 for final arguments.