

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/08/2026

M/s. Shreya Hospitality Services Pvt. Ltd. Appellant

Through:- Ms. Saumya Bajaj & Sh. Sahil Khurana, Ld.
counsel for the appellant

Vs.

APFC/RPFC, Gurugram Respondent

Through:- None for the respondent

Order Dated:-06.04.2026

This is a fresh appeal filed by the appellant against the impugned order passed by the respondent under Section 14B & 7 Q of the EPF & MP Act, 1952 (hereinafter referred as 'the Act') whereby an amount of Rs.74,81,328/- & Rs. 44,15,323/- has been assessed as damages and interest for belated payment of EPF dues.

Alongwith the appeal, the appellant has also filed four applications. One of which is for condonation of delay. It is the contention of the appellant that he has never received the notice of the enquiry conducted by the respondent authority prior to passing of the impugned order. The case of the appellant is that he has received the knowledge of passing of the impugned order when his bank account has been freezed and the same was reported to him by the bank.

Let the notice of this appeal be sent to the respondent once again for 15.04.2026. In the meanwhile status quo be maintained in respect of the money which has been freezed by the bank. Trial court record be also summoned on the next date of hearing.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/20/2025

M/s. Launch My Career Pvt. Ltd. Appellant

Through:- Sh. Prakash Kumar, ld. counsel for the appellant

Vs.

APFC/RPFC, Noida and Ors. Respondent

Through:- Ms. Saumya Agrawal, proxy counsel for the respondent

Order Dated:-06.04.2026

Bank Manager, Bank of Baroda, Manak Vihar Karkardooma Delhi to whom the notice was sent through email with a direction to appear today is not present. Counsel for the appellant has also shared that the appellant had also informed the bank manager about the directions to appear today.

Appearance of the Manager, Bank of Baroda was necessitated because the appellant had furnished the FDR of Rs.11,93,000/- having made in his own name, having endorsed in the name of CGIT-II, Delhi in writing, which is unusual.

In these circumstances, this Tribunal by exercising the power under order XVI Rule 12 of CPC, 1908 issues the show-cause notice to the Bank Manager, otherwise his property to the extent of Rs. 5,000/- would be attached.

Ld. counsel for appellant has also filed a proof showing deposit of the cost of Rs.5,000/- which was imposed on him on the previous date of hearing. Put up the case on 23.04.2026. A copy of this order be sent to the official email address of the bank branch.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/34/2024

M/s. Rangi International Pvt. Ltd. Appellant

Through:- None for the appellant

Vs.

APFC/RPFC, Gurugram East Respondent

Through:- Ms. Kirti Barthwal, proxy counsel & Sh. Lalit Kumar, A/R for the respondent

Order Dated:-06.04.2026

This is an appeal filed by the appellant against the impugned order passed by the respondent under Section 14B & 7 Q of the EPF & MP Act, 1952 (hereinafter referred as 'the Act') whereby an amount of Rs.30,71,678/- & Rs. 15,01,414/- has been assessed as damages and interest for belated payment of EPF dues.

Record reveals that on 02.09.2024, an order was passed by this tribunal directing to maintain status quo till next date of hearing. On 27.01.2025, the application filed for condonation of delay was allowed by this tribunal subject to a deposit of cost amounting Rs.5,000/-. However, a long time has passed and the counsel for the appellant has not been appearing, final notice was given to counsel for appellant to argue on the misc. application filed for seeking stay.

On 25.09.2025, this tribunal while disposing the application for granting stay, had passed a conditional order directing the appellant to deposit of interest component that amounts to Rs.15,01,414/- within a period of six weeks. Since, six weeks had elapsed and no compliance as directed was reported by the appellant, this tribunal had vacated the interim stay order passed on 02.09.2024 and stating that the respondent is at liberty to execute the order. Even after passing of this order, no step has been taken by the

respondent to recover the amount. Today the respondent has placed on record a copy of the warrant of arrest addressed to 'Police Station Incharge-Okhla Industrial Area' dated 24.03.2025, however, department has failed to produce any explanation as to what steps have been taken to recover the said amount prior to issuing the arrest warrant. Date of execution of arrest warrant is 07.04.2026. Even the department has not taken any steps to depute any officer to assist the SHO of the police station to execute the warrant of arrest.

Since, this matter has been taken up after a long time i.e. a period of four months, a notice be issued to the appellant to appear and argue the case. Let the matter be listed on 16.04.2026. Respondent is directed to produce all the documents showing the action taken for recovery of the amount.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/22/2018

M/s. Shivalik Prints

..... Appellant

Through:- Sh. J.R. Sharma & Sh. Bhoopesh Sharma, ld.
counsel for the appellant

Vs.

APFC/RPFC, Faridabad

.... Respondent

Through:- Sh. B.B. Pradhan, ld. counsel for the respondent

Order Dated:-06.04.2026

Ld. counsel for the respondent is granted a last chance to file written notes of argument. Put up the case on 29.04.2026 for filing of written arguments by ld. counsel for respondent and oral arguments thereafter.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/33/2019

M/s. SCT (P) Ltd. Appellant

Through:- Sh. S.K. Mittal, ld. counsel for the appellant

Vs.

APFC/RPFC, Meerut Respondent

Through:- Sh. S.N. Mahanta, ld. counsel & Sh. Vaibhav Chauhan, A/R for the respondent

Order Dated:-06.04.2026

The A/R for the respondent is again directed to produce the details of the employees (i.e. their number and names) working in the month of 04/2000 & 05/2000 whose PF was deposited by the establishment as the assessing officer has considered these employees as working in the establishment while assessing the dues in the impugned order. Further, he is also required to produce the name and number of employees who were working in the establishment in 09/2008 as per the payment sheet available in the trial court record. The trial court record which is in two volumes is returned to the A/R for producing the same on the next date of hearing along with a comprehensive report answering the above mentioned queries of this tribunal. Put up on 22.04.2026 for arguments.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/27/2021

M/s. DLF Golf Resorts Ltd. Appellant

Through:- Sh. Vinod Kumar, A/R for the appellant

Vs.

APFC/RPFC, Gurugram East Respondent

Through:- Sh. Narender Kumar & Ms. Sabrata, ld. counsel
for the respondent

Order Dated:-06.04.2026

The A/R for the appellant has filed the written notes of arguments. Copy of the same stands supplied to ld. counsel for the respondent. Put up on 23.04.2026 for final arguments.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer