

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

Appeal no. D-2/01/2026

M/s. Aura Creation Exports Pvt. Ltd.

....Appellant

Through:- Ms. Veena Choudhary, proxy counsel
for the appellant.

Vs.

APFC-RO, Noida and Ors.

.....Respondent

Through:- Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for
the respondent.

Oral:-

Order Dated:- 04.05.2026

Record perused. The respondent was required to file a reply to the application moved by the appellant seeking condonation of delay in filing the present appeal, as well as to the main appeal itself. However, instead of filing the reply, the Ld. Counsel for the respondent submitted that the same would be sent by the department today itself. This clearly means that the respondent is not interested in filing the reply at this stage and is merely seeking adjournments.

The record of the appeal further reveals that the impugned orders were passed ex-parte in favour of the respondent and against the appellant. As per the proceedings relied upon by the appellant, for the first time, notice dated 06.09.2019 was issued to the appellant directing appearance on 19.09.2019. Thereafter, the matter was adjourned on nine occasions, during which nobody appeared on behalf of the appellant. Subsequently, due to the outbreak of the Covid-19 pandemic, the proceedings resumed on 09.09.2020, yet

no one appeared. It is further mentioned that on 22.01.2021, summons were issued at the registered address of the appellant, which were returned with the remark 'not available'. At the same time, it is mentioned in the impugned orders that service had been affected upon the director of the appellant establishment, despite which no one appeared on behalf of the appellant.

The other fact is that the notice of recovery issued by the respondent was sent to the same address in respect of which it had earlier been reported that the summons were returned with the remark "unavailable".

In these circumstances when the impugned orders were passed without the participation of the appellant, the orders passed by the respondent dated 28.01.2021 stands set aside and recalled.

The respondent is directed to conclude the enquiry within a period of four months from the date of receipt of this order. The respondent is further directed to inform the appellant about the proceedings to be conducted through notice/summons, e-mail and through whatsapp. A link shall also be shared with the appellant through the e-mail address and Whatsapp to enable participation in the proceedings through video conferencing. The registry receipts regarding service upon the appellant shall also be enclosed with the record for future reference.

Service of notice/summons shall be effected upon the appellant at the following address:

Name: M/s. Aura Creation Exports Pvt. Ltd., Address- D-11, Ansal Vilas, Satbari, Delhi.

Alternate Address: S/o Arun Narang, D-11, Ansal Villa, Near CSKM School, Satbari, South Delhi-110074.

Email I.D.- tarunnarang71@gmail.com

Mobile no. 9810185510.

In view of the above discussion, the present appeal stands disposed of. The record of this appeal is consigned

to the record room. A copy of this order be sent to the counsels for both parties via email.

Atul Kumar Garg

(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/27/2021

M/s. DLF Golf Resorts Ltd. Appellant

Through:- Sh. Kapil Hans, ld. counsel for the appellant

Vs.

APFC/RPFC, Gurugram East Respondent

Through:- Sh. Narender Kumar & Ms. Sabrata, ld. counsel for
the respondent

Order Dated:-04.05.2026

Final arguments in the matter heard and concluded. Matter is reserved for pronouncement of order. Ld. counsel for the appellant is directed to file the copy of notice / summons issued u/s 14 B of the EPF & MP Act, 1952 with a week.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/27/2022 & D-2/29/2022

M/s. IL & Fs Engineering & Construction Company Ltd
.....Appellant
Through:- Sh. Abhishek Singh, A/R for the appellant.

Vs.

RPFC/APFC, Gurugram ...Respondent
Through:- Sh.B.B. Pradhan, Ld. counsel for the
Respondent.

Order Dated:-04.05.2026

The A/R for the appellant requested for an adjournment stating that his counsel Sh. S.K. Gupta, Advocate is unable to appear today. In the interest of justice, prayer to grant adjournment is allowed. List the matter on 07.07.2026 for final arguments.

(Atul Kumar Garg)
Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM LABOUR COURT, No. 2 DELHI;**

Appeal No. D-2/01/2023 & D-2/04/2023

(Taken up by way of mentioning by ld. counsel for appellant)

M/s. Revent Precision Engineering Ltd.Appellant
Through:- Ms. Kanishka Prasad, Ld. counsel for the
appellant

Vs.

RPFC/APFC, Noida ...Respondent
Through:- Sh. B.B. Pradhan, ld. counsel for the
Respondent.

Order Dated:-04.05.2026

Final arguments in the matter heard and concluded. The matter is reserved for pronouncement of the order. In the meanwhile, ld. counsel for both the parties are at liberty to file relevant case laws in support of their contentions duly indexed.

(Atul Kumar Garg)

Presiding Officer

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT No. 2/EPFAT, DELHI**

Item no.- 7

D-2/25/2024

M/s Seasons Textiles vs. APFC/RPFC, Noida.

Present: Sh. Omprakash, proxy counsel & Sh. Ghanshyam, AR
for the appellant.

Ms. Santwana Agarwal, Ld. Counsel for the
repondent.

Order dated-04.05.2026

The proxy counsel for the appellant requested for an adjournment stating that main counsel Sh. Haribansh Manav, Advocate is unable to appear today being out of town. In the interest of justice, prayer to grant adjournment is allowed. List the matter on 18.05.2026 for final arguments.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)