

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

Restoration application D-2/25/2024

M/s Seasons Textiles vs. APFC, Noida.

Present: Sh. Harbans Manav, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant.
Ms. Santwana Agarwal, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order dated-09.09.2025

File is taken up for consideration of an application filed for restoration of appeal which was dismissed by this tribunal on 30.04.2022 considering the continuous absence of the appellant. Appellant counsel submitted that his previous counsel had not informed the appellant rather she had misinformed the date as 06.05.2025. He further submitted that after being engaged in this matter he came to inspect the case file on 11.08.2025, then he came to know about the dismissal of the appeal. Respondent has not filed the reply to this application filed for restoration of appeal. However, she submitted that appellant is negligent in pursuing the appeal. He does not care to file the rejoinder despite being given ample opportunities.

I have heard the argument. Though, the ground taken by the appellant is not satisfactory because he has not placed any document regarding the noting down of the date as well as appearance on that particular date by nobody. Yet in the interest of justice this application stands allowed subject to payment of cost Rs.10,000/- out of which, Rs.7000/- is payable to the Registrar CGIT and Rs.3000/- is payable to respondent counsel within a week.

With this, appeal is restored to the original position. Counsel is given three weeks time to file rejoinder. Copy of this order is given to both the parties through email. Put up for 01.10.2025 for filing of rejoinder by the appellant.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-2/17/2025

M/s Varada Exports vs. APFC/RPFC, Noida.

Present: Sh. Siddharth Jha, Proxy for the Appellant.
Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order dated-09.09.2025

Proxy counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant seeks an adjournment stating the ground of unavailability of the main counsel. Let this matter be listed for 10.09.2025 at 12.00pm.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-2/15/2025

M/s Om International School vs. APFC/RPFC, Faridabad.

Present: Sh. J.R. Sharma & Sh. Bhupesh Sharma, Id. counsel for the
Appellant.

None for the Respondent.

Order dated-09.09.2025

Arguments in the matter heard and concluded. The matter is reserved for pronouncement of order on the misc. application filed for condonation of delay.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-2/16/2025

M/s Om International School vs. APFC/RPFC, Faridabad.

Present: Sh. J.R. Sharma & Sh. Bhupesh Sharma, Id. counsel for the
Appellant.

None for the Respondent.

Order dated-09.09.2025

Arguments in the matter heard and concluded. The matter is reserved for pronouncement of order on the misc. application filed for condonation of delay.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-2/22/2018

M/s Shivalik Prints vs. APFC, Faridabad.

Present: Sh. J.R. Sharma & Sh. Bhupesh Sharma, Ld. Counsels for the
Appellant.
Sh. B.B. Pradhan, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order dated-09.09.2025

Written notes of argument filed by the appellant. Copy supplied to the
respondent. Put up for final argument on 29.09.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-2/30/2019

M/s Greater Noida Industrial Development Authority vs. RPFC, Noida.

Present: Sh. Yash Sarda, Proxy for the Appellant.
Ms. Santwana Agarwal, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order dated-09.09.2025

Respondent submits that in spite of her efforts she is not able to trace the status of Mathura-Vrindavan Development Authority Case. To proceed with the present appeal, RPFC Agra is directed to send the status of the proceeding conducted in respect of the Mathura-Vrindavan Development Authority case which the appellant has cited during the arguments of the present case, as early as possible within two weeks. Copy of this order be sent to RPFC Agra through email. Put up for 15.10.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-2/09/2022

M/s Xcelserv Solution Pvt. Ltd. vs. RPFC, Gurguram.

Present: Sh. Yash Sarda, Proxy for the Appellant.
Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order dated-09.09.2025

As the main counsel for the appellant is not available, let this case be fixed for 16.10.2025 for final arguments.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-2/32/2024

M/s Akasva Infrastructure Pvt. Limited vs. RPFC, Gurugram.

Present: Sh. Yash Sarda, Proxy for the Appellant.
Sh. Vaibhav, Proxy for the Respondent.

Order dated-09.09.2025

Since both the main counsels are not available today, let the case be fixed for final arguments on 27.10.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)