

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, No. 1 DELHI**

Item No. 01

D-1/11/2025

M/s Municipal Corporation of Delhi vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (North).

Present: Sh. Yash Jain, Proxy Counsel for the appellant.
Sh. K.K Jha, Ld. Counsel along with Mahender Meena, AR for
the respondent.

Order dated-04.09.2025

Rejoinder has been filed by the appellant's counsel. Copy served to the respondent. Pleadings are completed. Let the interim order which has been passed on the very first day is extended till the finalization of the appeal. Put up for final argument on 19.11.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, No. 1 DELHI**

Item No. 02

D-1/21/2025

M/s Municipal Corporation of Delhi vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (North).

Present: Sh. Yash Jain, Proxy Counsel for the appellant.
Sh. K.K Jha, Ld. Counsel along with Mahender Meena, AR for
the respondent.

Order dated-04.09.2025

Reply has been filed by the respondent's counsel. Copy served to the proxy counsel of the appellant. Put up for filing of rejoinder on 19.11.2025. Till then, interim order is continued.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, No. 1 DELHI**

Item No. 03

734(4)2016

**M/s R.K. Jain & Sons Hospitality Services Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi
(North).**

Present: Sh. Mayank Jain, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Satpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent.

Order dated-04.09.2025

Final arguments have been heard by both the parties. Let it be reserved for order.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, No. 1 DELHI**

Item No. 04

13(4)2017

M/s The Centaur Hotel vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (North).

Present: None for the appellant.
 Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent.

Order dated-04.09.2025

Record perused. Earlier, for the last many dates case has been adjourning en-bloc, however on the last date of hearing notice was order to be issued but it has not been issued. Let notice be issued to the appellant as well as his counsel to appear and argue the matter on 24.11.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, No. 1 DELHI**

Item No. 05

10(4)2017

M/s Cross Country Hotel Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (South).

Present: None for the appellant.
 Ms. Ritul Sharma, Proxy Counsel for Sh. Saurabh Kansal, Ld.
 Counsel for the respondent.

Order dated-04.09.2025

Record perused. Earlier, for the last many dates case has been adjourning en-bloc, however on the last date of hearing notice was order to be issued but it has not been issued. Let notice be issued to the appellant as well as his counsel to appear and argue the matter on 25.11.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, No. 1 DELHI**

Item No. 06

D-1/53/2019

**M/s S.S. Mota Singh Sr. Secondary Model School vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi
(West).**

Present: None for the appellant.

 Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent.

Order dated-04.09.2025

Record perused. This matter has been adjourning en-bloc since 15.03.2023. Let notice be issued to the appellant as well as his counsel to appear and argue the matter on 27.11.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, No. 1 DELHI**

Item No. 07

D-1/62/2019

M/s Mohan Enterprises vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi (East).

Present: Sh. Bhupesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Yash Jain, Proxy Counsel along with Sh. Surender, AR for
the respondent.

Order dated-04.09.2025

Proxy counsel for the respondent submits that record is not traceable.

Record perused. Appeal has been filed in the year 2019 against the impugned order dated 28.02.2019, whereby the respondent had levied the damages under section 14-B for the period 06.02.1998 to 26.04.2018. In para no. 6.8 from the facts of the case/appeal, appellant has specifically mentioned that the assessment has been done in respect of the period 06/1998 to 01/2014 and he had filed the certain documents in this respect for tracing the facts. However, on perusal reply, it is found that it is an evasive reply and does not give any answer to the specific query. It is also an amazing fact that within three month of the issuance of the notice order has been passed.

Let this matter be listed for hearing on 12.09.2025. In the meanwhile, respondent department is directed to trace the record. Respondent department is also directed to ensure the presence of his counsel on the next date of hearing.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

