D-1/32/2025

M/s Leather Tec vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi East.

Present: Sh. S.P. Arora & Sh. Rajiv Arora, Ld. Counsels the Appellant.

Sh. Sunil Ranjan, A/R for the Respondent.

Order Dated-01.08.2025

The AR appearing on behalf of the respondent has communicated that Sh. Manu Parashar, ld. counsel for the respondent is not available today.

Record perused. Along with this appeal application for condonation of delay has also been filed. Office has reported that the same is within limitation if the period is counted from the dismissal of the review application. According to the appellant he had filed the application for review u/s 7B of the EPF & MP Act, 1952 (hereinafter referred as the Act) within forty five days of passing of the order u/s 7A of the Act. However, respondent while rejecting the application has taken the ground that it is filed beyond the period of forty five days.

Considering the above facts, appeal has been filed within sixty days from the date of dismissal of the application u/s 7B of the EPF & MP Act, 1952, the same is found within limitation. Therefore, there is no need to decide the condonation application. Let it be fixed for reply of misc. application filed u/s 7O of the Act and the arguments on 19.08.2025. In the meanwhile, respondent authority is directed not to take any coercive measure for recovery of the amount mentioned in the impugned order till next date of hearing.

D-1/29/2020

M/s Vodafone Idea Ltd. vs. RPFC-II, EPFO Regional Office Delhi East.

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar, Proxy for the Appellant.

Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Id. counsel & Sh. Sunil Ranjan, A/R for the

Respondent.

Order Dated-01.08.2025

Last opportunity is given to the respondent to verify the challans attached with the misc. application filed by the appellant and submit a comprehensive report. Put up on 16.09.2025 for consideration of the misc. application.

D-1/32/2023

M/s Medsave Health Insurance TPA Ltd. vs. APFC Delhi West.

Present: Sh. Manish Malhotra & Sh. Pratham, counsels for the

Appellant.

Sh. Kunal Surhatia, proxy for the Respondent.

Order Dated-01.08.2025

The proxy counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent requested for an adjournment as the main counsel is not available today. In the interest of justice, adjournment is granted. Put up on 11.09.2025 for arguments on the misc. application filed for seeking stay. In the meanwhile, interim order to continue till next date of hearing.

Sd/-

639(4)2013

M/s Ace Laboratories Ltd. vs. RPFC Delhi.

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar, Proxy for the Appellant.

Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Sunil Ranjan, A/R for the

Respondent.

Order Dated-01.08.2025

Respondent is directed to furnish the status of claim lodged before Rajasthan High Court (Jaipur Bench). Put up on 28.08.2025.

22(4)2011

M/s Hotel De-Romana vs. APFC Delhi.

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar, Proxy for the Appellant.

Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Sunil Ranjan, A/R for

the Respondent.

Order Dated-01.08.2025

Proxy counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant requested for an adjournment as the arguing counsel Sh. S.K. Gupta is not available today. In the interest of justice, adjournment is granted. Put up on 13.08.2025 for final arguments. Let the matter be placed on top of the board being one of the oldest pending matter before this tribunal.