

**BEFORE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE (RETD.) VIKAS KUNVAR SRIVASTAV,
PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, DELHI; ROOM No.207
ROUSE AVENUE, DISTRICT COURT COMPLEX, NEW DELHI-110002.**

Appeal No. D-1/51/2022

M/s. ARC Services
Through:- Shri L.B. Rai, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant

Appellant

Vs.

1.Union of India, RPFC, Delhi (E) & Anr.
Through:- Shri Gurumukh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 16/12/2022

**Miscellaneous Application no. 191 On behalf of the Appellant u/s 5
of the limitation Act, 1963 for condoning the delay in filing the reply.**

Case laws appended with the objection filed by the Ld. Counsel for the Respondent against application for condonation in filing of appeal moved on behalf of the Appellant is provided in the court itself by the Ld. Counsel for the respondent. Ld. Counsel for the Appellant wants to prepare himself for argument over the point of limitation.

Put up on 06.01.2023 for the consideration of the application.

(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE (RETD.) VIKAS KUNVAR SRIVASTAV,
PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, DELHI; ROOM No.207
ROUSE AVENUE, DISTRICT COURT COMPLEX, NEW DELHI-110002**

Appeal No. D-1/49/2020

M/s. The Delhi Golf Club Pvt. Ltd.
Through Sh.M. Dias, Ld. Counsel for the Appellant

Appellant

Vs.

APFC-Delhi (C)
Through Sh.B.B Pradhan, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 16/12/2022

**Miscellaneous application no.179 for condonation of delay in
filing the appeal.**

Ld. Counsel for the Respondent in the course of argument has referred the period of cancellation / withdrawal of the exemption/ relaxation granted to the establishment in the year 1963 vide order dated 08.11.1985, which subsequently vide order dated 16.03.1988 has also been restored. The Ld. Counsel for the Respondent further submits that thereafter, the appellant continued to deposit the demand of dues continuously which was credited in the account of members.

The question relating to this and replied in the arguments suffers with some vagueness. It is expected from the Ld. Counsel for the Respondent to prefer a written submission on the point with detailed discussion of the period of application of scheme and the default, if any. Further, the relevant provisions of the EPF & MP Act, 1952 and scheme framed therein be also co-related with the action taken by the Respondent in that regard so that the arguments may be appreciated in its true sense. List the matter on 06.01.2023. Meanwhile, the interim orders to continue till next date of hearing.

(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE (RETD.) VIKAS KUNVAR SRIVASTAV,
PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, DELHI; ROOM No.207
ROUSE AVENUE, DISTRICT COURT COMPLEX, NEW DELHI-110002.**

Appeal No. D-1/45/2022

M/s. EXL Services.com (India) Pvt. Ltd. Appellant
Through Sh. AjitWarrier, AngadKochhar& Akbar Hussain, Ld. Counsels for the
Appellant

Vs.

APFC, Delhi (c) Respondent
Through Sh. B.B Pradhan, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent

ORDER DATED :- 16/12/2022

List the matter again on 06.01.2023 for consideration of
the miscellaneous application filed on behalf of the Ld. Counsel for the
Appellant.

(Presiding Officer)