ld. NO. 158/22012

1st March, 2023

Present : Sh. Vinay Kumar, proxy A/R for the claimant with the Gen Secy.

Sh. Vijender Singh.

Shri M.C Kochhar, Ld.A/R for the management

the matter for the grounds taken in the application. application filed by the respondent BSNL for early hearing of The record has been put up today pursuant to an

the application filed by the respondent BSNL praying grant of this industrial dispute. interim stay for the execution of the award dated 23.02.2021 in A/R for both the parties are present. Heard argument on

that the claimant workman through their General Secretary had lump sum compensation of Rs. 4 Lac to each of the retrenched action of the mgt is illegal and further directed the mgt to pay raised an industrial dispute demanding regularization of their workmen and to pay the wages to the serving workmen as per the regular pay scale of their as per regular employees with a further direction to implementation of the award within 3 months thereafter took step for execution of the said award. from the The facts leading to filing the present application in short The tribunal award dated 23.02.2021 held that the date 으 publication of the same. The workmen

with a separate petition seeking an order of interim stay on the application for setting aside the award dated 23.02.2021 and the hearing the Ld. A/R for the respondent submitted that the the claimant workmen filed their reply to the application. During execution of the award. Copy of the application being served award dated 23.02.2021 was obtained by the workmen by before the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad and an application playing fraud on the tribunal. The award has been challenged At this juncture, the respondent BSNL came up with an



stayed by an interim order. purpose, the interest of justice, demands that the execution be award and till an order is passed on the application filed for the award. With these assertion he argued that the the same is a nullity argument is that when the award was obtained by playing fraud limitation act doesn't apply to the industrial dispute. His other respondent have a strong prima facie case for setting aside the for setting aside the award has been published. He also argued that the application and it doesnot becomes functus officio for the reason that the 567 to argue that tribunal has power to recall the award passed Haryana Suraj Multing Ltd. Vs. Phool Chand (2018) 16 SCC impacted. Hence, he insisted for the grant of interim stay on the including the courts. If the premises of BSNL would be lock the execution activities Govt. originations providing internet facilities to all Govt. offices fleeing away of the reach of law. Not only that BSNL is the BSNL is a state own corporation and there is least chance of ex-parte award, serious prejudice shall be caused. Moreover, of stay pending disposal of the application for setting aside the executing authority would not be prevented by an interim order executing authority have also taken steps for putting lock on the notice for realization of the amount awarded. Not only that the for stay on execution of an award has been filed and the same pending. But the executing authority has issued recovery of all the offices and departments will be seriously of the respondent. of the award. He placed reliance in the case and cannot be and shall not be a binding award has been filed after delay but the If the action proposed by the applicant

claimants have furnished the number of writ petition pending out that the applicant respondent has admitted that the matter when the award has already been published. Moreover, the Tribunal has no power to review its own order. He also pointed application has been filed after an unreasonable period of time pending The Ld. A/R for the claimant counter argued that the before the High Court 9 Allahabad and

review on merit the same cannot be entertained. implication. Since, reviewing its own order either expressly or by argued that the tribunal has no power under the ID. Act of placed in the case of Kapra Mazdoor Ekta Union Vs. Birla Curtains Spinning and Weaving Mills Ltd. (2005) 13 SCC 777 to before the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad i.e writ (c)no. of 2021. On behalf of the claimants reliance has been the respondent applicant are seeking a necessary

entertained. The petition for interim stay is accordingly rejected. prayer for interim stay of the execution proceeding cannot be before the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad. It is held that the situation and when the writ challenging the award is pending this Tribunal which amounts to forum forums i.e Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad as well as before the applicant respondent has moved the matter in both the application for interim stay is also pending there. It seems that clearly appears that the award dated 23.02.2021 has challenged before the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad and an On perusal of the application filed by the respondent, it shopping. In such a

the writ petition pending before the Hon'ble High Court of setting aside the ex-parte award if the same survives in view of application filed by the respondent containing the prayer for Allahabad. Call the matter on 24-05-2023 for hearing 9 the other

Presiding Officer CGIT-II