BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDSUTRIAL TRIBUNAL – CUM – LABOUR COURT-II, DELHI

I.D. NO. 257/2022

Sh. Inder Kumar & 11 Ors.

Through- The President Sh. Hukum Chand, CPWD Karamchari Union, Babu Lal Ji Complex, Shop No.-04, Gurgaon Road, Opposite Bus Stand, Gurgaon, Haryana-122001.

VERSUS

- The Director General,
 Central Public Work Department,
 Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi-110001.
- 2. The Director of Personal, P.W.D., 11th Floor, MSO Building (P.W.D. H.Q.) I.T.O, New Delhi-110002.
- 3. Work Cum T.L.Q. (A),
 Special Director of General, Project & Chandigarh,
 CPWD kendriya Sadan Chandigarh-160009.
- 4. PWD Secretary,

11th Floor, MSO Building (P.W.D.H.Q.), I.T.O, New Delhi-110002.

<u>AWARD</u> 24.11.2025

1. This is an application **U/s 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act (here in after is referred as an Act)** filed by the claimants. Their names and particulars are given below-

| | Name | Date of Joining | Joining Division office | Present Division Office | Sub Division |
|----|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | Office |
| 1 | Jitender | 02.02.2002 | P.W.D Circle no. II | M-113 S.W.R.I | C.E.M.Z-IS |
| 2 | Sushil Kumar | 17.03.1995 | School Building | M-214(P.W.D.) | M-214 |
| | | | Project Mandel | | |
| 3 | Sanjeev Sharma | 12.06.1995 | | S.R.D | M-21 |
| 4 | Rajesh Sharma | 01.12.1999 | Delhi College | | |
| 5 | Jai Prakash | 31.07.2003 | | S.R.D.(E) | P.W.D |
| | Dubey | | | | office |
| 6 | Anil Kumar | 31.12.2008 | E.IN.C | M313-3 (PWD) | E.I.M.C. |
| 7 | Shammi Ram | 16.06.2007 | D.C. College | M-333 | C.F.M.A |
| | | | Project | | (III)(N) |
| 8 | Chhote Lal | 13.07.1992 | ED-II P.W.D | Shiksha | Shiksha |
| | | | | Mandel-II | Mandel-II, |
| | | | | | Dwarka |
| 9 | Rajesh Kumar | 01.12.1911 | Delhi College | | |
| 10 | Prabhu | 01.11.2012 | P.W.D. M-14 | E.D. (P.W.D.) | E.D.U. (M) |
| | | | | | P.W.D |
| 11 | Hasan | 31.01.2008 | M-25 | S.R.D. (E) | E.IN.C |
| | | | | | P.W.D |

All the workmen had joined into the employer of the management from their respective date of appointed as mentioned in the table herein above. Their dispute regarding regularization of their services is pending. After receiving the notice from the Conciliation, management started misbehaving with the workmen and threatening them to terminate from their services which is an illegal act of retaliation and shows that managements are annoyed due to pending dispute. Hence, they filed the application under section 33-A of the Act with the prayed that management be directed not to terminate the services of the workmen during the pendency of the subject dispute.

2. All the Managements have already been proceeded ex-parte on 10.04.2023.

- 3. Now, the matter is listed for ex-parte workman evidence. On 23.01.2025, claimants were directed to furnish the details of the main dispute of the present application, as nothing in this regard was mentioned in the claim statement. However, neither claimants nor AR have been appearing for a long time.
- 5. In these circumstances, when claimants are not interested in perusing their case, this tribunal has no option but to dismiss their application. Hence, application **under section 33-A of the Act stands dismissed**. Award is passed accordingly. A copy of this award is sent to appropriate government for notification under section 17 of the I.D. Act. File is consigned to record room.

ATUL KUMAR GARG (Presiding Officer)