

Government of India
Ministry of Labour & Employment,
Central Government Industrial Tribunal –Cum- Labour Court-II, New Delhi
Present: Smt. Pranita Mohanty

ID.NO.118/2021

Shri Ram Gopal,
S/o Shri Ishwari Prasad,
62/1, Chukkhuwal,
Dehradun-248001.

.....Workman

Versus

1.The Sr. Circle Head,
HDFC Life, NCR Plaza,
Hathibarkala, Dehradun-248001 (UK).

2. The Managing Direc Housekeeping & Maintenance Services,
304, 3rd Floor, Nyati Millenium,
Viman Nagar, Pune-411014.

.....Managements.

AWARD

In the present case, a reference was received from the appropriate Government vide reference no. D-836/AD/2021/01/IRDDN dated 05.07.2021 under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Act, for adjudication of a dispute, terms of which are as under:

“Whether the termination of the service of Shri Ram Gopal S/o Shri Ishwari Prasad, Dehradun, who was engaged in HDFC Life, NCR Plaza, Hathibarkala, Dehradun by M/s International Housekeeping & Maintenance Services, Pune Contractor of HDFC Life Dehradun, for the period from 01.07.2017 to 22.05.2019 is proper and justified.

If so, to what relief, the workman is entitled to by way of compensation, if any?"

2. In the reference order, the appropriate Government commanded the parties raising the dispute to file statement of claim, complete with relevant documents, list of reliance and witnesses with this Tribunal within 15 days of receipt of the reference order and to forward a copy of such statement of claim to the opposite parties involved in the dispute. Despite directions so given, Claimant opted not to file the claim statement with the Tribunal.

3. On receipt of the above reference, notice was sent to the workman as well as the managements. Neither the postal article sent to the claimant, referred above, was received back nor was it observed by the Tribunal that postal services remained unserved in the period, referred above. Therefore, every presumption lies in favour of the fact that the above notice was served upon the claimant. Despite service of the notice, claimant opted to abstain away from the proceedings. No claim statement was filed on his behalf. Thus, it is clear that the workman is not interested in adjudication of the reference on merits.

4. Since the workman has neither put in his appearance nor has he led any evidence so as to prove his cause against the management, this Tribunal is left with no choice, except to pass a 'No Dispute/Claim' award. Let this award be sent to the appropriate Government, as required under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for publication.

Presiding Officer
CGIT-cum Labour Court II,
Rouse Avenue,
Delhi-110002.

Date:12 September, 2022.