Government of India Ministry of Labour & Employment, Central Government Industrial Tribunal – Cum- Labour Court-II, New Delhi Present: Smt. Pranita Mohanty

ID.NO.252/2019 Shri Chandan S/o Sh. Budhan, Through-The General Secretary, Hindustan Engineering & General Mazdoor Union, Head Office: D-2/24, Sultanpuri, Delhi-110086.

.....Workman

Versus

1. Airport Authority of India, Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan, Safdarjung Airport, New Delhi-110003.

2. The CEO, Delhi International Airport Pvt. Ltd., New Uddan Bhawan, Terminal -3 IGI Airport, New Delhi-110037.

3. The Managing Director, M/s Buddy Retail Pvt. Ltd., Office No. 08 & 09, Airline Office, IGI Airline Office, IGI Airport, New Delhi-110037.

.....Managements.

AWARD

In the present case, a reference was received from the appropriate Government vide file no. ND. 96(09)2019-ID-FOC-DY-CLC (C) dated 18.11.2019 under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Act, for adjudication of a dispute, terms of which are as under:

"Whether the action of the management of M/s Buddy Retail Pvt. Ltd., (contractor of DAIL) in terminating the services of the workman Sh. Chandan S/o

2

Sh. Budhan w.e.f 11.07.2018 is just, fair and legal? If not what relief the workman

concerned is entitled to and from which date?"

2. In the reference order, the appropriate Government commanded the parties

raising the dispute to file statement of claim, complete with relevant documents,

list of reliance and witnesses with this Tribunal within 15 days of receipt of the

reference order and to forward a copy of such statement of claim to the opposite

parties involved in the dispute. Despite directions so given, claimant union opted

not to file the claim statement.

3.On receipt of the above reference, notice was sent to the workman as well as the

managements. Neither the postal article sent to the claimant, referred above, was

received back nor was it observed by the Tribunal that postal services remained

unserved in the period, referred above. Therefore, every presumption lies in favour

of the fact that the above notice was served upon the claimant. Despite service of

the notice, claimant opted to abstain away from the proceedings. No claim

statement was filed on his behalf. Thus, it is clear that the workman is not

interested in adjudication of the reference on merits.

4. Since the workman has neither put his appearance nor has he led any evidence so

as to prove his cause against the management, this Tribunal is left with no choice,

except to pass a 'No Dispute/Claim' award. Let this award be sent to the

appropriate Government, as required under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes

Act, 1947, for publication.

Presiding Officer
CGIT-cum Labour Court II,
Rouse Avenue,
Delhi-110002.

Date: 10th Nov., 2022