Government of India Ministry of Labour & Employment, Central Government Industrial Tribunal – Cum- Labour Court-II, New Delhi Present: Smt. Pranita Mohanty

ID.NO.173/2020 Sh. Mohd. Shakeel, s/o Shri Abdul Mazeed, R/o D-66, Sarai Peeple Thala, Adarsh Nagar, New Delhi-110033.

.....Workman

Versus

1.Shri Raman Goel JGM (Operation), Delhi Metro Rail Corporation Ltd. Fire Brigade Lane, Barakhamba Road, New Delhi-110001.

2.Shri Dimple, proprietor, Nuvision Commercial & Escort Services (NCES), SCO-16, 17, 18 Shiv Narain Complex, Sikanderpur Ghosi, (Adjacent to City Court) Tehsil and District Gurgaon, Haryana-122002.

......Managements.

AWARD

In the present case, a reference was received from the appropriate Government vide file no. ND. 96(07)2020-ID-FOC-DY-CLC(C) New Delhi, dated 31.07.2020 under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Act, for adjudication of a dispute, terms of which are as under:

"Whether the services of the workman Sh. Mohad. Shakeel S/o Sh. Abdul Mazeed, who was working as 'Tom Operator', with DMRC through M/s New Vision Commercial and Escort Service (NCES) was terminated in an illegal and

2

unjustified manner "If yes, then what relief the workman Mohd. Shakeel S/o Shri

Sh. Abdul Mazeed is entitled to?"

2. In the reference order, the appropriate Government commanded the parties

raising the dispute to file statement of claim, complete with relevant documents,

list of reliance and witnesses with this Tribunal within 15 days of receipt of the

reference order and to forward a copy of such statement of claim to the opposite

parties involved in the dispute. Despite directions so given, claimant union opted

not to file the claim statement.

3. On receipt of the above reference, notice was sent to the workman as well as the

managements. Neither the postal article sent to the claimant, referred above, was

received back nor was it observed by the Tribunal that postal services remained

unserved in the period, referred above. Therefore, every presumption lies in favour

of the fact that the above notice was served upon the claimant. Despite service of

the notice, claimant opted to abstain away from the proceedings. No claim

statement was filed on his behalf. Thus, it is clear that the workman is not

interested in adjudication of the reference on merits.

4. Since the workman has neither put his appearance nor has he led any evidence so

as to prove his cause against the management, this Tribunal is left with no choice,

except to pass a 'No Dispute/Claim' award. Let this award be sent to the

appropriate Government, as required under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes

Act, 1947, for publication.

Presiding Officer
CGIT-cum Labour Court II,
Rouse Avenue,
Delhi-110002.

Date: 10th Nov., 2022