## Government of India Ministry of Labour &Employment, Central Government Industrial Tribunal –Cum- Labour Court-II, New Delhi Present: Smt. Pranita Mohanty

ID.NO.132/2021

Sh. Rajkumar , S/o Sh. Babu Ram, Through , The General Secretary, Karmsheel Mazdoor Sangarsh union, A-31 Agar Enclave, Meetha Pani, Prem Nagar-III, Near Hanuman Mandir, Somdarzar Road, Mubarakpur, New Delhi-110086.

.....Workman

## Versus

1.Delhi Metro Rail Corporation Ltd. DMRC Project, CC-24 Sarai Kale Khan ISBT, Near RTO Office, New Delhi-110013.

2. J. Kumar CRTG /DMRC, Barakhamba Lane NEw Delhi-110001.

.....Managements.

## **AWARD**

In the present case, a reference was received from the appropriate Government vide reference no. L-42011/45/2021- IR(DU) dated 30.07.2021 under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Act, for adjudication of a dispute, terms of which are as under:

"Whether Karmsheel Mazdoor Sangharsh Union, New Delhi Vide letter dated 23.03.2021 demands withdrawal of workman (Sh. Rajkumar, S/o Sh. Babu Ram) against J. Kumar CRTG under the management of Delhi Metro Rail Corporation project and payment of notice wages to what extent it is reasonable and justified, if any, what relief should be given to the workman and from when."

2

In the reference order, the appropriate Government commanded the parties 2.

raising the dispute to file statement of claim, complete with relevant documents,

list of reliance and witnesses with this Tribunal within 15 days of receipt of the

reference order and to forward a copy of such statement of claim to the opposite

parties involved in the dispute. Despite directions so given, claimant union opted

not to file the claim statement.

3.On receipt of the above reference, notice was sent to the workman as well as the

managements. Neither the postal article sent to the claimant, referred above, was

received back nor was it observed by the Tribunal that postal services remained

unserved in the period, referred above. Therefore, every presumption lies in favour

of the fact that the above notice was served upon the claimant. Despite service of

the notice, claimant opted to abstain away from the proceedings. No claim

statement was filed on his behalf. Thus, it is clear that the workman is not

interested in adjudication of the reference on merits.

4. Since the workman has neither put his appearance nor has he led any evidence so

as to prove his cause against the management, this Tribunal is left with no choice,

except to pass a 'No Dispute/Claim' award. Let this award be sent to the

appropriate Government, as required under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes

Act, 1947, for publication.

**Presiding Officer** CGIT-cum Labour Court II, Rouse Avenue,

Delhi-110002.

Date:7<sup>th</sup> December, 2022