

**SH. ATUL KUMAR GARG, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOV.  
INDUSTRIAL-TRIBUNAL CUM – LABOUR COURT NO II, NEW DELHI**

**ID.No.107/2018**

**Sh. Anil Kumar, S/o Om Prakash,  
R/o H.No. F – II – 339, Dr. Ambedkar Nagar,  
Sector – 4, Madangir, New Delhi – 110062.**

**VERSUS**

- 1. M/s Airport Authority of India,  
Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan, New Delhi – 110015.**
  
- 2. M/s DIAL, New Udan Bhawan,  
IGL Airport, New Delhi – 110037.**
  
- 3. Mecknil Engineering Ltd., Plot No. 54/3A,  
Rama Road New Delhi – 110015.**
  
- 4. Uttam Udan & Tour & Travels  
Terminal 1D, IGL Airport No.03,  
New Delhi – 110037.**

**AWARD**

This is an application Under Section 2A of the I.D Act whereby, the applicant made prayer to direct the management to pay the medical facilities/bills to him and call him on his job as soon as possible and further prayed to direct the management to pay the litigation expenses of Rs. 20,000. It is the case of the applicant/workman that he was working with the management from 10.05.2016 for the post of “Baagi Operator” and getting salary Rs. 12,355/- per month. Management had not provided to the workman the above said facilities. Workman had done the last night on dated 21.12.2016 with the management, but suddenly he met with the road accident in which he had received injuries. He informed the management about the same and the insurance company had expand Rs. 1,20,046/- on his medical treatment. Workman has informed the management no-3 official namely

Amit to his mobile no. 8447898108 about the expenditure which was expand by workman in his treatment, but the management every month less Rs. 503/- as a medical facility the salary of the workman. Thereafter, the workman again joined his job but, without any rhyme or reason and without conducted any domestic enquiry by the management, the management had terminated the service of the workman. He has initiated the conciliation proceeding but, no result. Hence, he had filed the present claim petition.

Management no- 3 & 4 have not appeared as they were proceeded ex-parte vide order dated 15.07.2019 and 07.03.2019 respectively. However, the management no-1 & 2 have appeared and filed their W.S. They denied the averment made by the claimant in his claim petition. Issues have been framed. Despite providing a number of opportunities, claimant has not appeared to substantiate his claim.

Hence, in these circumstances this tribunal has no option except to dismiss the application of the claimant. Application of the claimants stand dismissed accordingly. Award is passed accordingly. File is consigned to the record room.

Dated: 04.12.2023

Presiding Officer  
CGIT – cum – Labour Court - II