BEFORE SH. ATUL KUMAR GARG, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT NO-II, NEW DELHI

<u>I.D. No. 52/2020</u>

Sh. Birender Singh, S/o Sh. Sardar Singh, R/o- Village-Kalana, Tehsil- Gannaur, District-Sonepat, Haryana.

I.D. No. 53/2020

Sh. Kailash Verma, S/o Sh. Laxmi Narain, R/o- G-6, Gali No.-6, Wazirabad Village, Delhi-110084.

VERSUS

1. Air India House,

113, Gurudwara Rakabganj Road, New Delhi-110001.

The Director, Sh. Satbir Singh,
 Lifeline Travels,
 211-212, Shivam Plaza, Sector-6,
 Dwarka, New Delhi-110075.

AWARD

These are the two cases filed by the different workmen against the same management. Having common respondents and same cause of action, these cases are taken together for disposal of these cases. Claims of the claimants are that they were appointed by the management on 01.05.2014 as well as 15.03.2015 at the post of driver at their last drawn salary of Rs. 11,500/respectively. Their services were placed at airport by the management-2 as it was having the contract with management-1. They have honestly worked with the management and no complaint of any kind were made of them. Though, they have completed 240 days in a year, their services were terminated by the management on 02.11.2016 illegally. They had moved the applications before

the conciliation officer, but, yielded no result. As such they had filed the claim before this tribunal.

Management-1 had filed the W.S and it had denied the relationship of an employer and employee. It has been the case of the management that it has engaged the contractor M/s Lifeline travels who was awarded the contract for providing transport services of Brand new diesel cars for Air India cabin crue officials at Delhi vide aggrement dated 28.07.2014 for a period of two years. Contract was further extendable for one year. He submitted that claim of the respondent-1 be dismissed, quo respondent-1.

Management-2 had not appeared and he was proceeded ex-parte vide order dated 24.08.2022.

Thereafter, the workmen had stopped coming in the court. Respondent-1 have been regularly appearing through his counsel.

In this circumstances, when the claimants are not interested in persuing their claims, then it was resulted into no disputant award. No disputant award are passed accordingly in these cases. Files are consigned to record room.

Award is accordingly passed. A copy of this award is sent to appropriate government for notification under section 17 of the I.D. Act. File is consigned to record room.

03rd April, 2024

ATUL KUMAR GARG
Presiding Officer.
CGIT-cum- Labour Court-II