

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,
ERNAKULAM

Date: 10-03-2026
(SPECIAL CAMPAIGN)

PRESENT: SHRI SUSHIL KUMAR-II,
PRESIDING OFFICER

APPEAL No. 38/2024

BETWEEN

M/s. Kerala Handloom Weaver's
Industrial Co-op. Society Ltd
Chombala, Kozhikode - 673308

... I Party / Appellant

AND

✓ The Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner,
Employees Provident Fund Organization,
Sub Regional Office, P.B. No.1806,
Eranjipalam P.O., Kozhikode-673 006.

... II Party / Respondent

Appearance

For the Appellant

Adv. Praveen H

For the Respondent

Adv. (Dr.) Abraham P. Meachinkara

This appeal has been filed by the Appellant against Order No. KR/KKD/4012/ENF-1(1)/Damages/2023-24/4856 dated 13.10.2023 of the Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner, Kozhikode by which damages amounting to Rs. 6,34,249/- was levied against appellant under Section 14B of the Act.

2. Heard the argument of the Learned Counsel for the appellant on the point of admission of the appeal. As per provision, an appeal may be filed within 60 days. Further 60 days time may be extended by this Tribunal. After extension of 60 days time, the appeal is admissible and accordingly the appeal is admitted. ✓

3. The Appellant stated that assessment is made at high point without assigning any reason to impose maximum amount of damages.
4. As per the provisions of Section 14B, there are three ingredients.
- A. There must be default on the part of the employer in payment of any contribution to the fund payment of any charge.
- B. The Authorised Officer may recover from the employer (by way of penalty such damages) not exceeding an amount of arrears for such damages, and
- C. Limit of amount not to exceed arrears.
5. These were three ingredients specified that there must be some due payable to the employer and the Authorized Officer may recover it not exceeding the amount of arrears. Thus, Section 14B of the Act is enabling provision and does not envisage any compulsion to levy damages in all cases up to a maximum limit. Before levy of damages, on the given circumstances must be considered by the authority before imposing damages.
6. Having gone through the record and after hearing upon the parties, it appears that the Respondent authority has imposed maximum penalty without assigning any plausible reasons.

ORDER

Therefore, in view of the statements made by both the parties and considering the facts of the present case, it is appropriate to waive 35% of the amount of damages assessed by the Respondent. Ordered accordingly.

Record be consigned to the record room.

Place:Ernakulam
Date: 10-03-2026



(SUSHIL KUMAR-II)
Presiding Officer