



**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL~CUM~LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM**

सत्यमेव जयते

Present: Shri.V.Vijaya Kumar, B.Sc., LLM, Presiding Officer.

(Wednesday the 12th day of December, 2018)

Appeal No.03/2018

Appellant

Sreenivas. T.S
12/239-B “ Theertham”
Madappat Link Road
Manjummel P.O
Ernakulam – 683501.

By Adv. Babu Cherukara

Respondents

1. The Regional PF Commissioner
EPFO, Sub Regional Office
Kaloor,
Kochi – 682017.
2. The Additional Central Provident
Fund Commissioner for Kerala
and Lakshadweep, EPFO,
Zonal Office, Pattom
Thiruvananthapuram – 695 004.
3. The Central Provident Fund
Commissioner, EPFO ,
Head Office
Bhavishyanidhi Bhavan
14- Bhikaji Cama Place
RK Puram,
New Delhi – 110 006

ORDER

This case coming up for hearing on 12/12/2018 and this
Tribunal-cum-Labour Court passed the following on the same day.

2. Present appeal is filed from a communication dt. 14/11/2017 issued by the respondent intimating the appellant regarding the status of a grievance filed by him. When the matter was taken for admission, the learned Counsel for the respondent pointed out that the communication dt.14/11/2017 challenged in this appeal will not come within Sec 7(I) of EPF and MP Act 1952. It is seen that the communication under challenge pertains to some grievance filed by the appellant regarding interest paid on his provident fund accumulations while transferring from Bangalore to Sub Regional Office, Kochi. As per Sec 7(I) of the Act “Any person aggrieved by a notification issued by the Central Government or an order passed by the Central government or any other authority under the proviso to sub Sec 3 or sub Sec 4 of Sec 1, or Sec 3 or sub Sec 1 of Sec 7A or Sec 7(b) except an order rejecting an application for review referred to in Sub sec 5 thereof, or Sec 7(c) or Sec 14B may prefer an appeal to a Tribunal against such order”. Since the impugned order will not come in any of the above categories, the appeal is not maintainable.

3. However the respondent may look into the grievance afresh, to see whether the relief claimed therein can be resolved.

Hence the appeal is dismissed as not maintainable.

Sd/-

(V. Vijaya Kumar)
Presiding Officer