

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-2, MUMBAI**

APPEAL NO. CGIT- 2 / EPFA /64/2025

M/s. Eaton Technologies Pvt. Ltd.

- Appellant

V/s.

The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-I,

EPFO, Pune.

- Respondent

ORDER
(Delivered on 06-05-2025)

Read application alongwith appeal memo.

The advocate put her appearance for opponent without reply.

Heard both the parties at length.

It seems that, the applicant has challenged the legality of composite order passed u/s. 7-A & 7-Q of the EPF Act. The counsel for the applicant attempted to point out various illegalities in the order under appeal and requested for stay to the implementation of the order under appeal, however I am not inclined to pass such order unless the reply filed by the opponent in the matter. In the meantime, the opponent is directed not to proceed with any coercive action against the applicant only on depositing 50% of total amount assessed in the composite order towards compliance of the provisions of Section 7-Q of the EPF Act.

Sd/-

Date: 06-05-2025

(Shrikant K. Deshpande)
Presiding Officer
CGIT -2, Mumbai

