## BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-2, MUMBAI

## APPEAL NO. CGIT- 2 / EPFA 61/2025

M/s. Sudal Industries Ltd.

- Appellant

V/s.

The Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner

EPFO, Nashik.

- Respondent

## <u>ORDER</u>

(Delivered on 06-05-2025)

Read application alongwith memo of appeal. Perused the copy of order under appeal.

Heard Mr. Manoj Gujar advocate for the applicant. Mrs. Humane advocate put an undertaking in respect of appearance on behalf of the opponent.

It appears that, the applicant has challenged the legality of order passed u/s. 7-A of the EPF Act. The counsel for the applicant pointed out various illegalities as well as irregularities in the order under appeal. Whereas the counsel for the opponent sought time to file reply for want of instructions from the department. In such circumstances, I am inclined to grant time to file reply, however considering the apprehension about recovery, I am directing the opponent not to take any coercive action against the applicant only on depositing 30% amount assessed in the order under appeal by the applicant till hearing on this application after reply by the opponent.

Sd/-

Date: 06-05-2025

(Shrikant K. Deshpande) Presiding Officer CGIT -2, Mumbai

APPEAL NO. CGIT- 2 / EPFA /61/2025